arXiv:1111.2842v1 [math.DS] 11 Nov 2011

SOFIC DIMENSION FOR DISCRETE MEASURED GROUPOIDS

KEN DYKEMA, DAVID KERR, AND MIKAËL PICHOT

Abstract. For discrete measured groupoids preserving a probability measure we introduce a notion of sofic dimension that measures the asymptotic growth of the number of sofic approximations on larger and larger finite sets. In the case of groups we give a formula for free products with amalgamation over an amenable subgroup. We also prove a free product formula for measure-preserving actions.

1. Introduction

For certain kinds of infinite-dimensional structures it is possible to define a notion of volume or complexity by measuring the asymptotic growth of the number of models in finite or finite-dimensional spaces of increasing size. This idea occurs prototypically in the statistical mechanics of infinite lattice systems, where one defines the mean entropy as a limit of weighted averages over finite-volume configurations. Via the action of lattice translation, this mean entropy can be recast as a particular instance of dynamical entropy. For continuous actions of amenable groups on compact Hausdorff spaces, dynamical entropy can be expressed either in information-theoretic terms using open covers or as a measure of the exponential growth of the number of partial orbits up to an observational error. Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy for measure-preserving actions of amenable groups can also be viewed in a similar dual way.

In a recent breakthrough, Lewis Bowen showed how the statistical mechanical idea of counting finitary models can be used as a means for defining dynamical entropy in the very broad context of measure-preserving actions of countable sofic groups [3]. A generalization of both amenability and residual finiteness, soficity is defined by the existence of approximate actions on finite spaces, and it is these approximate actions which provide the setting for dynamical models. Hanfeng Li and the second author subsequently applied an operator algebra perspective to develop a more general approach to sofic entropy that yields both topological and measure-theoretic entropy invariants [13].

This "microstates" approach to dynamical entropy can be compared with the packing formulation of Voiculescu's free entropy dimension for tracial von Neumann algebras, for which the finite modeling takes place in matrix algebras instead of finite sets or commutative finite-dimensional C^*-algebras. While sofic entropy measures the exponential growth of the number of dynamical models relative to a fixed background sequence of sofic approximations for the group, free entropy dimension counts the number of matrix models for a finite set of operators (which might for instance come from both the group and the space in a crossed product) up to an

---

Date: November 11, 2011.

K.D. was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0901220, D.K. was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0900938, and M.P. was partially supported by JSPS.

observational error and measures the growth of this quantity within an appropriate superexponential regime as the dimension of the matrix algebra tends to infinity. A major open problem concerning free entropy dimension is whether it takes a common value on all finite generating sets and hence yields an invariant for the von Neumann algebra. This is true in the hyperfinite case [11] but is unknown for free group factors. In [21] Shlyakhtenko defined a free-entropy-type quantity using a combination of permutations and general unitaries that yields an invariant for discrete measured equivalence relations.

In the present paper we define a notion of sofic dimension for groups and measure-preserving group actions that is based on discrete models in the manner of sofic entropy but counts all models for the structure in the spirit of free entropy dimension. In fact we set up the theory of sofic dimension in the more natural and general framework of discrete measured groupoids (more precisely, what we call probability-measure-preserving (p.m.p.) groupoids), so that it simultaneously specializes to groups, measure-preserving group actions, and probability-measure-preserving equivalence relations. This means in particular that, for free measure-preserving actions of countable groups, sofic dimension is an orbit equivalence invariant.

The dimension is first defined with respect to several local parameters. One of these parameters determines the scale at which the sofic approximations are distinguished, while the others determine how good the sofic approximation is. We take an infimum over the latter and then a supremum over the former to produce an invariant. We show that the value of this invariant can be determined by restricting the parameters to a generating set, which renders it accessible to computation. Our main result in the group case gives, under certain regularity assumptions, a formula for the sofic dimension of free products with amalgamation over an amenable group, in analogy with those for free entropy dimension [4] and cost [9]. This gives in particular a free probability proof of the fact that soficity for groups is preserved under free products with amalgamation over an amenable group, which was shown in [5] assuming the amenable group to be monotileable and in [7, 18] in general. We also establish a free product formula for measure-preserving actions under similar regularity assumptions. In a separate paper devoted to the equivalence relation viewpoint [6] we give a formula for the sofic dimension of a free product of equivalence relations amalgamated over an amenable subrelation, which applies most notably to free actions of free products of groups amalgamated over an amenable subgroup.

We begin in Section 2 by defining the sofic dimension $s(\mathscr{G})$ of a p.m.p. groupoid $\mathscr{G}$, as well as a variant $\underline{s}(\mathscr{G})$, the lower sofic dimension, obtained by replacing the limit supremum in the definition of $s(\mathscr{G})$ with a limit infimum. We prove in Theorem 2.11 that these invariants can be computed on any finite generating set. We also show that the lower sofic dimension of a sofic p.m.p. groupoid with infinite classes is at least 1 (Proposition 2.14). In Section 3 we record a couple of basic results for countable discrete groups, including the fact that $s(G) = 1 - |G|^{-1}$ for a finite group G (Proposition 3.5). Section 4 contains the amalgamated free product formula for groups, Theorem 4.10, which asserts that, under suitable regularity assumptions, if G_1 and G_2 are countable discrete groups and H is a common amenable subgroup then

$$s(G_1 *_H G_2) = s(G_1) + s(G_2) - 1 + \frac{1}{|H|}.$$

As corollaries we deduce that $s(F_r) = \underline{s}(F_r) = r$ for every $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ where F_r is the free group of rank r, and $s(G) = \underline{s}(G) = 1 - |G|^{-1}$ for amenable groups G. In Section 5 we show how the definition of sofic dimension for a measure-preserving action $G \curvearrowright X$ of a countable discrete group on a probability space, for which we use the notation $s(G, X)$ and $\underline{s}(G, X)$, can be reformulated so as to conveniently separate the group and space components. We use this reformulation in Section 6 to establish the free product formula, Theorem 6.4, which asserts that, under suitable regularity assumptions, if G_1 and G_2 are countable discrete groups and $G_1 * G_2 \curvearrowright X$ is a measure-preserving action on a probability space, then

$$s(G_1 * G_2, X) = s(G_1, X) + s(G_2, X).$$

As a corollary, for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain $s(F_r, X) = \underline{s}(F_r, X) = r$ for every measure-preserving action of the free group F_r.

While working on this project we learned that Miklós Abért, Lewis Bowen, and Nikolai Nikolov also defined and studied the same notion of sofic dimension for groups, and it is in fact their terminology that we have adopted. Our paper answers a question of Miklós Abért, who asked whether the theory can be extended to measure-preserving group actions [1].

Acknowledgments. Some of this research was conducted while the first author was attending the Erwin Schrödinger Institute in Vienna and he would like to thank the institute and the organizers of the program on Bialgebras and Free Probability. The second author would like to thank Yasuyuki Kawahigashi for hosting his January 2010 visit to the University of Tokyo during which the initial stages of this work were carried out. The third author thanks Narutaka Ozawa for helpful discussions on the subject.

2. PROBABILITY-MEASURE-PRESERVING GROUPOIDS

For a groupoid $\mathscr{G}$ we denote the source and range maps by $\mathfrak{s}$ and $\mathfrak{r}$, respectively, and write $\mathscr{G}^0$ for the set of units of $\mathscr{G}$. For a set $A \subseteq \mathscr{G}^0$ we write $\mathscr{G}_A$ for the subgroupoid of $\mathscr{G}$ consisting of all $x \in \mathscr{G}$ such that $\mathfrak{s}(x) \in A$ and $\mathfrak{r}(x) \in A$, with unit space A.

A *discrete measurable groupoid* is a groupoid $\mathscr{G}$ with the structure of a standard Borel space such that $\mathscr{G}^0$ is a Borel set, the source, range, multiplication, and inversion maps are all Borel, and $\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(x)$ is countable for every $x \in \mathscr{G}^0$.

A *probability-measure-preserving (p.m.p.) groupoid* is a discrete measurable groupoid $\mathscr{G}$ paired with a Borel probability measure μ on $\mathscr{G}^0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathscr{G}^0} |\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(x) \cap B| \, d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathscr{G}^0} |\mathfrak{r}^{-1}(x) \cap B| \, d\mu(x)$$

for every Borel set $B \subseteq \mathscr{G}$. The assignment of this common value to a Borel set B defines a σ-finite Borel measure on $\mathscr{G}$ which restricts to μ on $\mathscr{G}^0$. It will also be denoted by μ. When speaking about a p.m.p. groupoid $(\mathscr{G}, \mu)$ we will often simply write $\mathscr{G}$ with the measure μ being understood.

Let $(\mathscr{G}, \mu)$ and $(\mathscr{H}, \nu)$ be p.m.p. groupoids. We say that $\mathscr{G}$ and $\mathscr{H}$ are *isomorphic* if there exist Borel sets $A \subseteq \mathscr{G}^0$ and $B \subseteq \mathscr{H}^0$ such that $\mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(A))$ and $\mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(B))$ have full measure in $\mathscr{G}^0$ and $\mathscr{H}^0$, respectively, and a groupoid isomorphism $\varphi : \mathscr{G}_A \to \mathscr{H}_B$ which is Borel and satisfies $\varphi_* \mu = \nu$.

In order to express the notion of a finite approximation to a p.m.p. groupoid $(\mathscr{G}, \mu)$ that will be the basis of our definition of sofic dimension, we will think of $\mathscr{G}$ in terms of its inverse semigroup $I_{\mathscr{G}}$ of partial isometries, defined as follows. Let B be a Borel subset of $\mathscr{G}$ such that the restrictions of $\mathfrak{s}$ and $\mathfrak{r}$ to B are injective. We obtain a partial isometry s_B on $L^2(\mathscr{G}, \mu)$ by setting

$$s_B\xi(x) = \int_{\mathscr{G}} 1_B(xy)\xi(y^{-1})\, d\mu(y)$$

for all $\xi \in L^2(\mathscr{G}, \mu)$. We then define $I_{\mathscr{G}}$ as the collection of nonzero partial isometries which arise in this way. When convenient we will think of elements in $I_{\mathscr{G}}$ themselves as characteristic functions on $\mathscr{G}$ which are identified if they agree μ-almost everywhere. The collection $I_{\mathscr{G}}$ forms an inverse semigroup, where the inverse of an element s is its adjoint s^*, and it is closed under taking sums of finitely many pairwise orthogonal elements. It is a subset of the von Neumann algebra $\mathrm{VN}(\mathscr{G})$ of $\mathscr{G}$, which can be defined as the weak operator closure of $L^1(\mathscr{G}, \mu)$ as represented on $L^2(\mathscr{G}, \mu)$ via convolution given by

$$\eta * \xi(x) = \int_{\mathscr{G}} \eta(xy)\xi(y^{-1})\, d\mu(y).$$

One can show in fact that $I_{\mathscr{G}}$ generates $\mathrm{VN}(\mathscr{G})$ as a von Neumann algebra.

Write τ for the normal trace on $\mathrm{VN}(\mathscr{G})$ associated to μ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ for the 2-norm $a \mapsto \tau(a^*a)^{1/2}$ on $\mathrm{VN}(\mathscr{G})$. For elements a in $L^1(\mathscr{G}, \mu)$, and in particular for a in the linear span of $I_{\mathscr{G}}$, the trace is given by

$$\tau(a) = \langle a1_{\mathscr{G}^0}, 1_{\mathscr{G}^0}\rangle_{L^2(\mathscr{G},\mu)} = \int_{\mathscr{G}^0} a * 1_{\mathscr{G}^0}\, d\mu = \int_{\mathscr{G}_0} a(x) d\mu(x).$$

We will be using the 2-norm to measure distances between elements of $I_{\mathscr{G}}$.

The three basic examples of p.m.p. groupoids are the following:

1. a countable discrete group G, in which case I_G can be identified with G and the inverse of the inverse semigroup is the same as the group inverse,
2. a countable discrete group acting by measure-preserving transformations on a standard probability space, which reduces to the previous example when the space consists of a single point, and
3. a measure-preserving equivalence relation R on a standard probability space, in which case I_R is the collection of partial transformations φ with nonnull domain such that $(x, \varphi(x)) \in R$ for all x in the domain of φ, with two such partial transformations being identified if they agree on a subset which has full measure in the domain of each.

We write I_d for the inverse semigroup of all partial transformations of $\{1, \dots, d\}$. This is the inverse semigroup associated to the full equivalence relation $\{1, \dots, d\} \times \{1, \dots, d\}$ on $\{1, \dots, d\}$, which we view as a p.m.p. groupoid with respect to the uniform probability measure on $\{1, \dots, d\}$. We thus view I_d both as the set of all partial transformations of $\{1, \dots, d\}$ and as the set of all partial permutation matrices in M_d, i.e., partial isometries whose entries are all either 0 or 1. The context will dictate which particular meaning is intended. We write S_d for the subset of I_d consisting of all permutations of $\{1, \dots, d\}$, which we also regard as permutation matrices in M_d in accordance with our double interpretation of I_d. For a finite set E we write $\mathrm{Sym}(E)$ for the set of all permutations of E. This will occasionally be convenient as a substitute for S_d when dealing with a d-element set that comes with a description other than $\{1, \dots, d\}$.

We write the unique tracial state on M_d as tr, or sometimes tr_d if there are matrix algebras of different dimensions at play. Note that for $s \in I_d$ the square $\|s\|_2^2 = \mathrm{tr}(s^*s)$ of the 2-norm is equal to $1/d$ times the cardinality of the domain of s as a partial transformation. Also, for any

$s, t \in I_d$ we have, writing $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_d$ for the standard basis vectors of $\mathbb{C}^d$ and dom for domain,

$$\begin{aligned}\|s-t\|_2^2 &= \tau((s-t)^*(s-t)) = \frac{1}{d}\sum_{j=1}^{d}\langle (s-t)\xi_j, (s-t)\xi_j\rangle \\ &\geq \frac{1}{d}\big|\{c \in \{1,\ldots,d\} : sc \neq tc\}\big| \\ &= \frac{1}{d}\big|(\mathrm{dom}(s)\Delta\mathrm{dom}(t)) \cup \{c \in \mathrm{dom}(s)\cap\mathrm{dom}(t) : sc \neq tc\}\big|.\end{aligned}$$

This inequality will be useful for example in the proof of Lemma 2.5. In the case that $s, t \in S_d$ we have

$$\|s-t\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{d}\big|\{c \in \{1,\ldots,d\} : sc \neq tc\}\big|.$$

Given a p.m.p. groupoid $\mathscr{G}$ and a $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we wish to count the number of models of $I_{\mathscr{G}}$ in I_d. We do this by counting the number of approximately multiplicative maps $I_{\mathscr{G}} \to I_d$.

For a subset Ω of $I_{\mathscr{G}}$ we write Ω^* for $\{s^* : s \in \Omega\}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we write $\Omega^{\times n}$ for the n-fold Cartesian product $\Omega \times \cdots \times \Omega$. This is to be distinguised from Ω^n, which denotes the set of all products $s_1 \cdots s_n$ where $s_1, \ldots, s_n \in \Omega$. We write $[\Omega]$ for the linear span of Ω in $L^1(\mathscr{G}, \mu)$.

Let $\mathscr{G}$ and $\mathscr{H}$ be p.m.p. groupoids. Let F be a finite subset of $I_{\mathscr{G}}$. For an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a $\delta > 0$, a linear map $\varphi : [I_{\mathscr{G}}] \to [I_{\mathscr{H}}]$ is said to be (F, n, δ)-*approximately multiplicative* if

$$\|\varphi(s_1 \cdots s_n) - \varphi(s_1)\cdots\varphi(s_n)\|_2 < \delta$$

for all $(s_1, \ldots, s_n) \in F^{\times n}$. For $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a $\delta > 0$ we define $\mathrm{SA}(F, n, \delta, d)$ to be the set of all $(F \cup F^* \cup \{1\}, n, \delta)$-approximately multiplicative unital linear maps $\varphi : [I_{\mathscr{G}}] \to [I_d] = M_d$ such that $\varphi(I_{\mathscr{G}}) \subseteq I_d$ and $|\mathrm{tr} \circ \varphi(s) - \tau(s)| < \delta$ for all $s \in (F \cup F^* \cup \{1\})^n$. Note that such a map φ, being unital, satisfies

$$\|\varphi(s_1 \cdots s_k) - \varphi(s_1)\cdots\varphi(s_k)\|_2 < \delta$$

for all $k = 1, \ldots, n$ and $(s_1, \ldots, s_k) \in (F \cup F^*)^{\times k}$.

Definition 2.1. The p.m.p. groupoid $\mathscr{G}$ is said to be *sofic* if for all finite sets $F \subseteq \mathscr{G}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\delta > 0$ the set $\mathrm{SA}(F, n, \delta, d)$ is nonempty for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$.

Given sets E and A with $E \subseteq A$, a set Z, and a collection $\mathscr{Y}$ of maps $A \to Z$, we write $|\mathscr{Y}|_E$ for the cardinality of the sets of restrictions $\varphi|_E$ where $\varphi \in \mathscr{Y}$. Note that $\mathrm{SA}(F, n, \delta, d) \supseteq \mathrm{SA}(F', n', \delta', d)$ and hence $|\mathrm{SA}(F, n, \delta, d)|_E \geq |\mathrm{SA}(F', n', \delta', d)|_{E'}$ whenever $F \subseteq F'$, $n \leq n'$, $\delta \geq \delta'$, and E and E' are subsets of $I_{\mathscr{G}}$ satisfying $E \supseteq E'$.

Definition 2.2. Let Ω be a subset of $I_{\mathscr{G}}$, E and F finite subsets of $I_{\mathscr{G}}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\delta > 0$. We set

$$\begin{aligned}s_E(F, n, \delta) &= \limsup_{d\to\infty} \frac{1}{d\log d} \log|\mathrm{SA}(F, n, \delta, d)|_E, \\ s_E(F, n) &= \inf_{\delta>0} s_E(F, n, \delta), \\ s_E(F) &= \inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} s_E(F, n), \\ s_E(\Omega) &= \inf_{F} s_E(F),\end{aligned}$$

$$s(\Omega) = \sup_E s_E(\Omega)$$

where F in the second last line and E in the last line both range over the finite subsets of Ω. We similarly define $\underline{s}_E(F, n, \delta)$, $\underline{s}_E(F, n)$, $\underline{s}_E(F)$, $\underline{s}_E(\Omega)$, and $\underline{s}(\Omega)$ by replacing the limit supremum in the first line with a limit infimum. If $\mathrm{SA}(F, n, \delta, d)$ is empty for all sufficiently large d we set $s_E(F, n, \delta) = -\infty$, and if $\mathrm{SA}(F, n, \delta, d)$ is empty for arbitrarily large d we set $\underline{s}_E(F, n, \delta) = -\infty$.

Note that if Ω is finite in the above definition then the notation $s_E(\Omega)$ is unambiguous since $s_E(F') \leq s_E(F)$ whenever F and F' are finite subsets of $I_{\mathscr{G}}$ with $F' \supseteq F$.

Definition 2.3. The *sofic dimension* $s(\mathscr{G})$ of $\mathscr{G}$ is defined as $s(I_{\mathscr{G}})$, and the *lower sofic dimension* $\underline{s}(\mathscr{G})$ as $\underline{s}(I_{\mathscr{G}})$.

It is clear that sofic dimension and lower sofic dimension are invariants for isomorphism of p.m.p. groupoids.

For the remainder of the section $(\mathscr{G}, \mu)$ will be an arbitrary p.m.p. groupoid.

Given a finite set $E \subseteq I_{\mathscr{G}}$, on the set of all unital linear maps from $[I_{\mathscr{G}}]$ to $[I_d] = M_d$ we define the pseudometric

$$\rho_E(\varphi, \psi) = \max_{s \in E} \|\varphi(s) - \psi(s)\|_2.$$

For $\varepsilon \geq 0$ write $N_\varepsilon(\cdot, \rho)$ for the maximal cardinality of an ε-separated subset with respect to the pseudometric ρ. Note that $N_0(\mathrm{SA}(F, n, \delta, d), \rho_E) = |\mathrm{SA}(F, n, \delta, d)|_E$.

Definition 2.4. Let E and F be finite subsets of $\mathscr{G}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\delta > 0$. We set

$$s_{E,\varepsilon}(F, n, \delta) = \limsup_{d \to \infty} \frac{1}{d \log d} \log N_\varepsilon(\mathrm{SA}(F, n, \delta, d), \rho_E),$$
$$s_{E,\varepsilon}(F, n) = \inf_{\delta > 0} s_{E,\varepsilon}(F, n, \delta).$$

We similarly define $\underline{s}_{E,\varepsilon}(F, n, \delta)$ and $\underline{s}_{E,\varepsilon}(F, n)$ by replacing the limit supremum in the first line with a limit infimum. If $\mathrm{SA}(F, n, \delta, d)$ is empty for all sufficiently large d we set $s_{E,\varepsilon}(F, n, \delta) = -\infty$, and if $\mathrm{SA}(F, n, \delta, d)$ is empty for arbitrarily large d we set $\underline{s}_{E,\varepsilon}(F, n, \delta) = -\infty$.

Lemma 2.5. *For every $\kappa > 0$ there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that*

$$|\{t \in I_d : \|t - s\|_2 < \varepsilon\}| \leq d^{\kappa d}.$$

for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in I_d$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in I_d$. Given a $t \in I_d$ we have

$$\|s - t\|_2^2 \geq \frac{1}{d} \big|\{c \in \{1, \dots, d\} : sc \neq tc\}\big|$$

and so if t satisfies $\|s - t\|_2 < \varepsilon$ then the cardinality of the set of all $c \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ such that $tc \neq sc$ is at most $\varepsilon^2 d$. Consequently the set A of all $t \in I_d$ such that $\|t - s\|_2 < \varepsilon$ has cardinality at most $\binom{d}{\lfloor \varepsilon^2 d \rfloor} d^{\lfloor \varepsilon^2 d \rfloor}$, which is less than $d^{\kappa d}$ for some $\kappa > 0$ depending on ε but not on d with $\kappa \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. □

Lemma 2.6. *Let E be a finite subset of $I_{\mathscr{G}}$. Let $\kappa > 0$. Then there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $s_E(F, n) \leq s_{E,\varepsilon}(F, n) + \kappa$ and $\underline{s}_E(F, n) \leq \underline{s}_{E,\varepsilon}(F, n) + \kappa$ for all finite sets $F \subseteq I_{\mathscr{G}}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. This is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 2.5. □

Given an $\Omega \subseteq I_{\mathscr{G}}$ we write $I(\Omega)$ for the set of all elements in $I_{\mathscr{G}}$ which can be written as a finite sum of elements in Ω. That is, $I(\Omega)$ is the set of all sums $\sum_{i=1}^{k} s_k$ where $s_1, \dots, s_k$ are elements of Ω with pairwise orthogonal domain projections and pairwise orthogonal range projections.

Definition 2.7. A set $\Omega \subseteq I_{\mathscr{G}}$ is said to be *generating* if for every $t \in I_{\mathscr{G}}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there are an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in I((\Omega \cup \Omega^*)^n)$ such that $\|t - s\|_2 < \varepsilon$.

Lemma 2.8. *Let Ω be a generating subset of $I_{\mathscr{G}}$ and L a finite subset of $I_{\mathscr{G}}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$. Then there are a finite set $F \subseteq \Omega$, an $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and an (L, n, δ)-approximately multiplicative linear map $\theta : [I_{\mathscr{G}}] \to [I_{\mathscr{G}}]$ such that $\theta(L^n) \subseteq I((F \cup F^* \cup \{1\})^m)$ and $\|\theta(s) - s\|_2 < \delta$ for all $s \in L^n$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume $1 \in L$. First we construct a finite set $\tilde{L} \subset I_{\mathscr{G}}$ such that $L^n \subseteq I(\tilde{L})$ and $\tilde{L}$ is linearly independent as a subset of $[I_{\mathscr{G}}]$. Take a finite measurable partition $\mathcal{P}$ of $\mathscr{G}^0$ such that the domain and range projection of every element of L^n is a characteristic function of some union of members of $\mathcal{P}$. Set $\tilde{L} = \{1_Q s 1_P : s \in L^n \text{ and } P, Q \in \mathcal{P}\}$. Then $L^n \subseteq I(\tilde{L})$, and $\tilde{L}$ is linearly independent, as is easily seen.

Let $\delta' > 0$ be smaller than $\delta/(2\max(|\tilde{L}|, n))$. Since Ω is generating, we can find a finite set $F \subseteq \Omega$ and an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $s \in \tilde{L}$ there is an $\theta(s) \in I((F \cup F^* \cup \{1\})^m)$ with $\|\theta(s) - s\|_2 < \delta'$. Since $\tilde{L}$ is linearly independent this defines a linear map $\theta : [\tilde{L}] \to [I_{\mathscr{G}}]$, and we can then take an arbitrary linear extension $[I_{\mathscr{G}}] \to [I_{\mathscr{G}}]$, which we again denote by θ. Observe that since $\delta' < \delta/|\tilde{L}|$ and $L^n \subseteq I(\tilde{L})$ we have $\|\theta(s) - s\|_2 < \delta$ for all $s \in L^n$.

Finally, given $(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in L^{\times n}$ we can write $s_1 \cdots s_n$ as $\sum_{s \in \tilde{L}} \gamma_s s$ for some $\gamma_s \in \{0, 1\}$ so that

$$\|\theta(s_1 \cdots s_n) - s_1 \cdots s_n\|_2 \le \sum_{s \in \tilde{L}} \gamma_s \|\theta(s) - s\|_2 < |\tilde{L}|\delta'$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\theta(s_1 \cdots s_n) - \theta(s_1) \cdots \theta(s_n)\|_2 \\
&\qquad \le \|\theta(s_1 \cdots s_n) - s_1 \cdots s_n\|_2 \\
&\qquad\qquad + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|s_1 \cdots s_{i-1}\|_\infty \|s_i - \theta(s_i)\|_2 \|\theta(s_{i+1}) \cdots \theta(s_n)\|_\infty \\
&\qquad < |\tilde{L}|\delta' + n\delta' \le \delta,
\end{aligned}$$

showing that θ is (L, n, δ)-approximately multiplicative. □

Lemma 2.9. *Let $\delta > 0$. Then whenever v and w are elements of $I_{\mathscr{G}}$ satisfying $\|vwv - v\|_2 < \delta$ and $\|wvw - w\|_2 < \delta$ one has $\|w - v^*\|_2 < 3\delta$.*

Proof. When acting on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathscr{G}, \mu)$, v and w are partial isometries and the four projections v^*v, vv^*, w^*w and ww^* commute with each other. Consider the projection $r = v^*v(1 - w^*w)$. If $\xi \in L^2(\mathscr{G}, \mu)$ and $r\xi = \xi$, then $v^*v\xi = \xi$ and $w\xi = 0$. Consequently, $(wvw - v)\xi = v\xi$ and we get $r \le (wvw - v)^*(wvw - v)$. This implies $\tau(r) \le \|w - wvw\|_2^2 < \delta^2$ and

$$\|v(1 - w^*w)\|_2 < \delta. \tag{1}$$

On the other hand, consider the projection $s = (1 - w^*w)vv^*$. We have

$$\|v^*vwvv^* - v^*\|_2 = \|v^*(vwv - v)v^*\|_2 \le \|vwv - v\|_2 < \delta \tag{2}$$

If $s\xi = \xi$, then $vv^*\xi = \xi$ and $w\xi = 0$, so $(v^*vwvv^* - v^*)\xi = v^*\xi$ and we get $s \leq (v^*vwvv^* - v^*)^*(v^*vwvv^* - v^*)$, which implies $\tau(s) \leq \|v^*vwvv^* - v^*\|_2^2 < \delta^2$ and

$$\|(1 - w^*w)v\|_2 < \delta. \tag{3}$$

Now similarly to (2), we get $\|w^*wvw^*w - w^*\|_2 < \delta$. Using this and (1) and (3), we get

$$\|v - w^*\|_2 < \delta + \|v - w^*wvw^*w\|_2 \leq \delta + \|(1 - w^*w)v\|_2 + \|w^*wv(1 - w^*w)\|_2 < 3\delta.$$

□

Lemma 2.10. *Let F be a finite subset of $\mathscr{G}$ with $F^* = F$, n an integer greater than 2, $\delta > 0$, and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\varphi \in \mathrm{SA}(F, n, \delta, d)$. Then $\|\varphi(s^*) - \varphi(s)^*\|_2 < 3\delta$ for every $s \in F$.*

Proof. Let $s \in F$. Since $n \geq 3$ we have

$$\|\varphi(s)\varphi(s^*)\varphi(s) - \varphi(s)\|_2 = \|\varphi(s)\varphi(s^*)\varphi(s) - \varphi(ss^*s)\|_2 < \delta$$

and similarly $\|\varphi(s^*)\varphi(s)\varphi(s^*) - \varphi(s^*)\|_2 < \delta$, so that $\|\varphi(s^*) - \varphi(s)^*\|_2 < 3\delta$ by Lemma 2.9. □

Theorem 2.11. *Let Ω be a generating subset of $I_{\mathscr{G}}$. Then $s(\mathscr{G}) = s(\Omega)$ and $\underline{s}(\mathscr{G}) = \underline{s}(\Omega)$.*

Proof. The theorem is equivalent to the assertion that if Υ is another generating subset of $I_{\mathscr{G}}$ then $s(\Omega) = s(\Upsilon)$ and $\underline{s}(\Omega) = \underline{s}(\Upsilon)$, and to verify this it suffices by symmetry to show that $s(\Omega) \leq s(\Upsilon)$ and $\underline{s}(\Omega) \leq \underline{s}(\Upsilon)$. We will establish the first of these inequalities, with the second following by the same argument with the limit supremum replaced everywhere by a limit infimum. In view of the definitions we may assume that $1 \in \Omega^* = \Omega$ and $1 \in \Upsilon^* = \Upsilon$.

Let E be a finite subset of Ω. Let $\kappa > 0$. By Lemma 2.6 there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $s_E(F, n) \leq s_{E,\varepsilon}(F, n) + \kappa$ for all finite sets $F \subseteq G$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since Υ is generating, we can find a finite set $K \subseteq \Upsilon$ and an integer $n > 1$ such that for every $s \in E$ there are $\gamma_{s,\boldsymbol{t}} \in \{0, 1\}$ for which the element $\tilde{s} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{t}\in K^{\times n}} \gamma_{s,\boldsymbol{t}}\check{\boldsymbol{t}} \in I(K^n)$ satisfies $\|s - \tilde{s}\|_2 < \varepsilon/16$, where $\check{\boldsymbol{t}}$ means $t_1 \cdots t_n$ for $\boldsymbol{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$. By increasing n if necessary we can find a finite set $L \subseteq \Upsilon$ satisfying $1 \in L^* = L$ and $K \subseteq L$ and a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{d\to\infty} \frac{1}{d \log d} \log |\mathrm{SA}(L, n, \delta, d)|_K \leq s_K(\Upsilon) + \kappa.$$

Choose a $\delta' > 0$ such that $|K|^n\delta' < \varepsilon/8$. Since Ω is generating, by Lemma 2.8 we can find a finite set $F \subseteq \Omega$ with $1 \in F^* = F$, an $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and an $(L, n, \delta'/4)$-approximately multiplicative linear map $\theta : [I_{\mathscr{G}}] \to [I_{\mathscr{G}}]$ with $\theta(L^n) \subseteq I(F^m)$ such that $\|t - \theta(t)\|_2 < \delta'/2$ for every $t \in L^n$. Observe that for every $s \in E$ we have, since $K^n \subseteq L^n$,

$$\|s - \theta(\tilde{s})\|_2 \leq \|s - \tilde{s}\|_2 + \|\tilde{s} - \theta(\tilde{s})\|_2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{16} + \sum_{\boldsymbol{t}\in K^{\times n}} \gamma_{s,\boldsymbol{t}}\|\check{\boldsymbol{t}} - \theta(\check{\boldsymbol{t}})\|_2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{8},$$

an estimate that will be used towards the end of the proof.

Take a $\delta'' > 0$ such that

(i) $|F|^{mn}(1 + n)\delta'' \leq \delta'/2$, and
(ii) for every linear map φ from $[F^{mn}]$ to a Hilbert space, if $|\langle\varphi(s), \varphi(t)\rangle - \langle s, t\rangle| < (4+3mn)\delta''$ for all $s, t \in F^{mn}$ then $\|\varphi(f)\|_2 \leq 2\|f\|_2$ for all $f \in [F^{mn}]$.

Let $\varphi \in \mathrm{SA}(F, 2mn, \delta'', d)$. Given $s, t \in F^{mn}$ and writing $s = s_1 \cdots s_{mn}$ and $t = t_1 \cdots t_{mn}$ where $s_1, \dots, s_{mn}, t_1, \dots, t_{mn} \in F$, we have, using Lemma 2.10,

$$\|\varphi(t_{mn})^* \cdots \varphi(t_1)^* - \varphi(t_{mn}^*) \cdots \varphi(t_1^*)\|_2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^{mn} \|\varphi(t_{mn})^* \cdots \varphi(t_{i+1})^*(\varphi(t_i)^* - \varphi(t_i^*))\varphi(t_{i-1}^*) \cdots \varphi(t_1^*)\|_2 \\
&< 3mn\delta''
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\varphi(t)^*\varphi(s) - \varphi(t^*s)\|_2 \\
&\qquad \leq \|\varphi(t_1 \cdots t_{mn})^* - (\varphi(t_1) \cdots \varphi(t_{mn}))^*\|_2 \|\varphi(s)\|_\infty \\
&\qquad\qquad + \|\varphi(t_{mn})^* \cdots \varphi(t_1)^* - \varphi(t_{mn}^*) \cdots \varphi(t_1^*)\|_2 \|\varphi(s)\|_\infty \\
&\qquad\qquad + \|\varphi(t_{mn}^*) \cdots \varphi(t_1^*)\|_\infty \|\varphi(s_1 \cdots s_{mn}) - \varphi(s_1) \cdots \varphi(s_{mn})\|_2 \\
&\qquad\qquad + \|\varphi(t_{mn}^*) \cdots \varphi(t_1^*)\varphi(s_1) \cdots \varphi(s_{mn}) - \varphi(t^*s)\|_2 \\
&\qquad < (3 + 3mn)\delta''
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle \varphi(s), \varphi(t)\rangle - \langle s, t\rangle| &\leq |\mathrm{tr}(\varphi(t)^*\varphi(s) - \varphi(t^*s))| + |\mathrm{tr} \circ \varphi(t^*s) - \tau(t^*s)| \\
&< \|\varphi(t)^*\varphi(s) - \varphi(t^*s)\|_2 + \delta'' < (4 + 3mn)\delta''.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows by our choice of δ'' that $\|\varphi(f)\|_2 < 2\|f\|_2$ for all $f \in [F^{mn}]$. Write $\varphi^\natural$ for $\varphi \circ \theta$. We will show that $\varphi^\natural \in \mathrm{SA}(L, n, \delta', d)$. Let $t_1, \dots, t_n \in L$. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$ we can write $\theta(t_i) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{s} \in F^{\times m}} \gamma_{i,\boldsymbol{s}} \check{\boldsymbol{s}}$ where $\gamma_{i,\boldsymbol{s}} \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\check{\boldsymbol{s}}$ means $s_1 \cdots s_m$ for $\boldsymbol{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_m)$. For every $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $(\boldsymbol{s}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{s}_k) \in (F^m)^{\times k}$ we have, writing $\boldsymbol{s}_i = (s_{i,1}, \dots, s_{i,m})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigg\|\varphi\bigg(\prod_{i=1}^k \check{\boldsymbol{s}}_i\bigg) - \prod_{i=1}^k \varphi(\check{\boldsymbol{s}}_i)\bigg\|_2 &= \bigg\|\varphi\bigg(\prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^m s_{i,j}\bigg) - \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^m \varphi(s_{i,j})\bigg\|_2 \\
&\qquad + \bigg\|\prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^m \varphi(s_{i,j}) - \prod_{i=1}^k \varphi\bigg(\prod_{j=1}^m s_{i,j}\bigg)\bigg\|_2 \\
&< \delta'' + \sum_{p=1}^k \bigg\|\prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \prod_{j=1}^m \varphi(s_{i,j})\bigg\|_\infty \bigg\|\prod_{j=1}^m \varphi(s_{p,j}) - \varphi\bigg(\prod_{j=1}^m s_{p,j}\bigg)\bigg\|_2 \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \bigg\|\prod_{i=p+1}^k \varphi\bigg(\prod_{j=1}^m s_{i,j}\bigg)\bigg\|_\infty \\
&\leq \delta'' + \sum_{i=1}^k \bigg\|\prod_{j=1}^m \varphi(s_{i,j}) - \varphi\bigg(\prod_{j=1}^m s_{i,j}\bigg)\bigg\|_2 \\
&< (1 + n)\delta''
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\varphi(\theta(t_1) \cdots \theta(t_k)) - \varphi(\theta(t_1)) \cdots \varphi(\theta(t_k))\|_2 \\
&\qquad = \bigg\|\varphi\bigg(\prod_{i=1}^k \sum_{\boldsymbol{s} \in F^{\times m}} \gamma_{i,\boldsymbol{s}} \check{\boldsymbol{s}}\bigg) - \prod_{i=1}^k \varphi\bigg(\sum_{\boldsymbol{s} \in F^{\times m}} \gamma_{i,\boldsymbol{s}} \check{\boldsymbol{s}}\bigg)\bigg\|_2
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \Bigg\| \sum_{(\boldsymbol{s}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{s}_k)\in(F^{\times m})^{\times k}} \bigg(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\gamma_{i,\boldsymbol{s}_i}\bigg)\bigg[\varphi\bigg(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\check{\boldsymbol{s}}_i\bigg) - \prod_{i=1}^{k}\varphi(\check{\boldsymbol{s}}_i)\bigg]\Bigg\|_2 \\
&\leq \sum_{(\boldsymbol{s}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{s}_k)\in(F^{\times m})^{\times k}} \bigg\|\varphi\bigg(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\check{\boldsymbol{s}}_i\bigg) - \prod_{i=1}^{k}\varphi(\check{\boldsymbol{s}}_i)\bigg\|_2 \\
&< |F|^{mn}(1+n)\delta'' \\
&\leq \frac{\delta'}{2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|\varphi^\natural(t_1\cdots t_k) - \varphi^\natural(t_1)\cdots\varphi^\natural(t_k)\|_2 \\
&\qquad \leq \|\varphi(\theta(t_1\cdots t_k) - \theta(t_1)\cdots\theta(t_k))\|_2 \\
&\qquad\qquad + \|\varphi(\theta(t_1)\cdots\theta(t_k)) - \varphi(\theta(t_1))\cdots\varphi(\theta(t_k))\|_2 \\
&\qquad \leq 2\|\theta(t_1\cdots t_k) - \theta(t_1)\cdots\theta(t_k)\|_2 + \frac{\delta'}{2} \\
&\qquad < \frac{\delta'}{2} + \frac{\delta'}{2} = \delta'.
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, for $t \in L^n$ we can write $\theta(t) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{s}\in F^{\times m}} \lambda_{t,\boldsymbol{s}}\check{\boldsymbol{s}}$ where $\lambda_{t,\boldsymbol{s}} \in \{0,1\}$ and $\check{\boldsymbol{s}}$ means $s_1\cdots s_m$ for $\boldsymbol{s} = (s_1,\dots,s_m)$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathrm{tr}\circ\varphi(\theta(t)) - \tau(\theta(t))| &= \bigg|\sum_{\boldsymbol{s}\in F^{\times m}} \lambda_{t,\boldsymbol{s}}(\mathrm{tr}\circ\varphi(\check{\boldsymbol{s}}) - \tau(\check{\boldsymbol{s}}))\bigg| \\
&\leq \sum_{\boldsymbol{s}\in F^{\times m}} |\mathrm{tr}\circ\varphi(\check{\boldsymbol{s}}) - \tau(\check{\boldsymbol{s}})| \leq |F|^m\delta'' < \frac{\delta'}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathrm{tr}\circ\varphi^\natural(t) - \tau(t)| &\leq |\mathrm{tr}\circ\varphi(\theta(t)) - \tau(\theta(t))| + |\tau(\theta(t) - t)| \\
&< \frac{\delta'}{2} + \|\theta(t) - t\|_2 < \delta'.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\varphi^\natural \in \mathrm{SA}(L,n,\delta',d)$, as desired.

Let $\Gamma : \mathrm{SA}(F,mn,\delta'',d) \to \mathrm{SA}(L,n,\delta',d)$ be the map $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^\natural$. Pick an $\varepsilon' > 0$ such that $2|L|^n n\varepsilon' < \varepsilon/4$. Let Z be an ε'-net in $\mathrm{SA}(L,n,\delta',d)$ with respect to ρ_K of minimal cardinality. Each element of Z within distance ε' to $\Gamma(\mathrm{SA}(F,mn,\delta'',d))$ we perturb to an element of $\Gamma(\mathrm{SA}(F,mn,\delta'',d))$ in order to construct a set $Y \subseteq \mathrm{SA}(F,mn,\delta'',d)$ such that $|Y| \leq |Z|$ and $\Gamma(Y)$ is a $2\varepsilon'$-net for $\Gamma(\mathrm{SA}(F,mn,\delta'',d))$ with respect to ρ_K. Let φ and ψ be elements of $\mathrm{SA}(F,mn,\delta'',d)$ with $\rho_K(\varphi^\natural,\psi^\natural) < 2\varepsilon'$. Then for $\boldsymbol{t} = (t_1,\dots,t_n) \in K^{\times n}$ we have, since $K \subseteq L$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi^\natural(\check{\boldsymbol{t}}) - \psi^\natural(\check{\boldsymbol{t}})\|_2 &\leq \|\varphi^\natural(t_1\cdots t_n) - \varphi^\natural(t_1)\cdots\varphi^\natural(t_1)\|_2 \\
&\quad + \|\varphi^\natural(t_1)\cdots\varphi^\natural(t_n) - \psi^\natural(t_1)\cdots\psi^\natural(t_n)\|_2 \\
&\quad + \|\psi^\natural(t_1)\cdots\psi^\natural(t_n) - \psi^\natural(t_1\cdots t_n)\|_2
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&< 2\delta' + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\psi^\natural(s_1)\cdots\psi^\natural(s_{i-1})\|_\infty \|\varphi^\natural(t_i) - \psi^\natural(t_i)\|_2 \|\varphi^\natural(s_{i+1})\cdots\varphi^\natural(s_n)\|_\infty \\
&< 2(\delta' + n\varepsilon')
\end{aligned}$$

and thus, for $s \in E$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi^\natural(\tilde{s}) - \psi^\natural(\tilde{s})\|_2 &= \left\| \varphi^\natural\Big(\sum_{\boldsymbol{t}\in K^{\times n}} \lambda_{s,\boldsymbol{t}} \check{\boldsymbol{t}} \Big) - \psi^\natural\Big(\sum_{\boldsymbol{t}\in K^{\times n}} \lambda_{s,\boldsymbol{t}} \check{\boldsymbol{t}} \Big) \right\|_2 \\
&\le \left\| \sum_{\boldsymbol{t}\in K^{\times n}} \lambda_{s,\boldsymbol{t}} (\varphi^\natural(\check{\boldsymbol{t}}) - \psi^\natural(\check{\boldsymbol{t}})) \right\|_2 \\
&\le \sum_{\boldsymbol{t}\in K^{\times n}} \|\varphi^\natural(\check{\boldsymbol{t}}) - \psi^\natural(\check{\boldsymbol{t}})\|_2 \\
&< 2|K|^n(\delta' + n\varepsilon') < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_E(\varphi, \psi) &= \max_{s\in E} \|\varphi(s) - \psi(s)\|_2 \\
&\le \max_{s\in E} (\|\varphi(s - \theta(\tilde{s}))\|_2 + \|\varphi^\natural(\tilde{s}) - \psi^\natural(\tilde{s})\|_2 + \|\psi(\theta(\tilde{s}) - s)\|_2) \\
&< 4 \max_{s\in E} \|s - \theta(\tilde{s})\|_2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\
&< 4 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{8} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore Y is an ε-net for $\mathrm{SA}(F, mn, \delta'', d)$ with respect to ρ_E, and so

$$N_\varepsilon(\mathrm{SA}(F, mn, \delta'', d), \rho_E) \le |Y| \le |Z| \le N_{\varepsilon'}(\mathrm{SA}(L, n, \delta', d), \rho_K) \le |\mathrm{SA}(L, n, \delta, d)|_K$$

using the fact that $\delta' \le \delta$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
s_E(\Omega) &\le s_E(F, mn) \\
&\le s_{E,\varepsilon}(F, mn) + \kappa \\
&\le \limsup_{d\to\infty} \frac{1}{d \log d} \log N_\varepsilon(\mathrm{SA}(F, mn, \delta'', d), \rho_E) + \kappa \\
&\le \limsup_{d\to\infty} \frac{1}{d \log d} \log |\mathrm{SA}(L, n, \delta, d)|_K + \kappa \\
&\le s_K(\Upsilon) + 2\kappa \le s(\Upsilon) + 2\kappa.
\end{aligned}$$

Since E was an arbitrary finite subset of Ω and κ an arbitrary positive number, we conclude that $s(\Omega) \le s(\Upsilon)$. □

Definition 2.12. A set $\Omega \subseteq \mathscr{G}$ is said to be *approximation regular* if $s(\Omega) = \underline{s}(\Omega)$. We say that $\mathscr{G}$ is *approximation regular* if $s(\mathscr{G}) = \underline{s}(\mathscr{G})$.

We round out this section by recording a few basic facts about sofic dimension.

Lemma 2.13. *Let E and F be nonempty finite subsets of $I_{\mathscr{G}}$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider a sequence $1 \leq d_1 < d_2 < \dots$ of integers where $\lim_{k\to\infty} d_{k+1}/d_k = 1$. Then*

$$s_E(F,n) = \inf_{\delta>0} \limsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{d_k \log d_k} \log |\mathrm{SA}(F,n,\delta,d_k)|_E,$$
$$\underline{s}_E(F,n) = \inf_{\delta>0} \liminf_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{d_k \log d_k} \log |\mathrm{SA}(F,n,\delta,d_k)|_E.$$

In particular, for every $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$s_E(F,n) = \inf_{\delta>0} \limsup_{d\to\infty} \frac{1}{\ell d \log \ell d} \log |\mathrm{SA}(F,n,\delta,\ell d)|_E,$$
$$\underline{s}_E(F,n) = \inf_{\delta>0} \liminf_{d\to\infty} \frac{1}{\ell d \log \ell d} \log |\mathrm{SA}(F,n,\delta,\ell d)|_E.$$

Proof. For integers $1 \leq d_1 \leq d_2$, we have the inclusion $I_{d_1} \subseteq I_{d_2}$, as partial transformations of $\{1,\dots,d_1\}$ may be viewed as partial transformations of $\{1,\dots,d_2\}$ which fix the points from d_1+1 to d_2. It is easily seen that this results in an inclusion $\mathrm{SA}(F,n,\delta,d_1) \subseteq \mathrm{SA}(F,n,\delta',d_2)$, where $\delta' = \delta'(d_1,d_2) = \delta + (d_2-d_1)/d_2$. Thus, $\delta' \to \delta$ if d_1 and d_2 are increasing without bound in such a way that $d_2/d_1 \to 1$. Moreover, if $r = d_2/d_1$ then

$$\frac{d_2 \log d_2}{d_1 \log d_1} = r\frac{\log d_1 + \log r}{\log d_1},$$

so also this ratio tends to 1. This implies that for every $\eta > 0$, for all k large enough, and for every integer d with $d_k \leq d \leq d_{k+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{d_k \log d_k} \log |\mathrm{SA}(F,n,\delta-\eta,d_k)|_E &\leq \frac{1}{d \log d} \log |\mathrm{SA}(F,n,\delta,d)|_E \\ &\leq \frac{1}{d_{k+1} \log d_{k+1}} \log |\mathrm{SA}(F,n,\delta+\eta,d_{k+1})|_E\end{aligned}$$

and the lemma follows from this. □

A p.m.p. groupoid $\mathscr{G}$ is said to have infinite classes if $\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(\{x\})$ is infinite (equivalently, $\mathfrak{r}^{-1}(\{x\})$ is infinite) for μ-almost every $x \in \mathscr{G}^0$.

Proposition 2.14. *Suppose that the p.m.p. groupoid $\mathscr{G}$ is sofic and has infinite classes. Then $\underline{s}(\mathscr{G}) \geq 1$.*

Proof. Let m and n be integers greater than 1 and let $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$. Since $\mathscr{G}$ has infinite classes, the sets $\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(x) \cap (\mathscr{G} \setminus \mathscr{G}^0)$ and $\mathfrak{r}^{-1}(x) \cap (\mathscr{G} \setminus \mathscr{G}^0)$ are countably infinite for μ-almost every $x \in \mathscr{G}^0$. By a standard selection theorem [12, Thm. 18.10], as used in the proof of Theorem 1 in [8] in the equivalence relation setting, there exist a countable Borel partition of $\mathscr{G}$ into sets on which the range and source maps are injective. Thus we can find disjoint Borel sets $B_1,\dots,B_k \subseteq \mathscr{G} \setminus \mathscr{G}^0$ and a Borel set $Y \subseteq \mathscr{G}^0$ with $\mu(Y) \geq 1 - \varepsilon/2$ such that $\mathfrak{s}|_{B_i}$ and $\mathfrak{r}|_{B_i}$ are injective for every $i = 1,\dots,k$ and $|\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(x) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i| \geq m$ for every $x \in Y$. For each $i = 1,\dots,k$ write s_i for the element of $I_{\mathscr{G}}$ defined by the characteristic function of B_i. Note that $\tau(s_i) = 0$ for every $i = 1,\dots,k$. Set $E = \{s_1,\dots,s_k,1_Y\}$. Take a finite set $F \subseteq I_{\mathscr{G}}$ with $1 \in F^* = F$, an $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\underline{s}_E(I_{\mathscr{G}}) + \varepsilon \geq \limsup_{d\to\infty} \frac{1}{d \log d} \log |\mathrm{SA}(F,n,\delta,\ell d)|_E.$$

By shrinking δ if necessary we may assume that it is sufficiently small as a function of ε, m, and k for a purpose to be described in a moment.

Since $\mathscr{G}$ is sofic we can find an $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ as large as we wish and a unital (F, n, δ)-approximately multiplicative linear map $\varphi : [I_{\mathscr{G}}] \to [I_\ell]$ such that $\varphi(I_{\mathscr{G}}) \subseteq I_\ell$ and $|\mathrm{tr}_\ell \circ \varphi(s) - \tau(s)| < \delta$ for all $s \in F^n$. Since $\tau(s_i) = 0$ for every $i = 1, \dots, k$, $\tau(s_j^* s_i) = 0$ for all distinct $i, j \in \{1, \dots k\}$, and $1_Y \in F$, by a straightforward approximation argument we can find, assuming δ to be small enough as a function of ε, m, and k, a set $C \subseteq \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ with $\mathrm{tr}_\ell(1_C) > 1 - \varepsilon$ such that

1. $\sum_{i=1}^k \varphi(s_i^* s_i) 1_C \geq m \cdot 1_C$ in M_ℓ,
2. $\mathrm{tr}_\ell(\varphi(s_i) 1_C) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$,
3. $\mathrm{tr}_\ell(\varphi(s_j^* s_i) 1_C) = 0$ for all distinct $i, j \in \{1, \dots k\}$, and
4. $\varphi(s_j)^* \varphi(s_i) c = \varphi(s_j^* s_i) c$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $c \in C$.

Decompose $\{1, \dots, \ell\}$ into subsets which are invariant under $\varphi(s_i)$ for every $i = 1, \dots, k$ and are minimal with respect to this property. Write $A_1, \dots, A_q$ for the members of this collection which have cardinality at least m. We claim that $C \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^q A_i$. To verify this, let $c \in C$ and write I for the set of all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that the domain of the partial transformation $\varphi(s_i)$ contains c. By condition (1) above, the set I has cardinality at least m. Now suppose that $\varphi(s_i)c = \varphi(s_j)c$ for some $i, j \in I$. Then $\varphi(s_j^* s_i)c = \varphi(s_j)^* \varphi(s_i)c = \varphi(s_j)^* \varphi(s_j)c = c$. It follows that $i = j$, for otherwise $\mathrm{tr}_d(\varphi(s_j^* s_i) 1_C) > 0$, contradicting (3). We thereby deduce that $C \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^q A_i$.

Now let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $j = 0, \dots, d-1$ define the bijection $\gamma_j : \{1, \dots, \ell\} \to \{j\ell + 1, j\ell + 2, \dots, j\ell + \ell\}$ by $\gamma_j(c) = j\ell + c$. Define a map $\psi : I_{\mathscr{G}} \to I_{\ell d}$ by

$$\psi(s)(j\ell + c) = \gamma_j \circ \varphi(s) \circ \gamma_j^{-1}(j\ell + c)$$

for $s \in G$, $j = 0, \dots, d-1$, and $c = 1, \dots, \ell$. Then ψ is a unital (F, n, δ)-approximately multiplicative map such that $|\mathrm{tr}_{\ell d} \circ \psi(s) - \tau(s)| < \delta$ for all $s \in F^n$. For each $j = 0, \dots, d-1$ and $i = 1, \dots, k$ write $A_{j,i}$ for the subset $\gamma_j(A_i)$ of $\{1, \dots, \ell d\}$. Set $A = \bigcup_{j=0}^{d-1} \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_{j,i}$.

Write n_i for the cardinality of A_i. Note that the number of ways of partitioning A into ℓk many subsets with cardinalities $|A_{j,i}|$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $j = 0, \dots, d-1$ is bounded below by

$$\frac{|A|!}{n_1!^d \cdots n_k!^d (dk)!}$$

(the factor $(dk)!$ in the denominator accounts for the possible repetition of cardinalities among the subsets, yielding the exact formula in the extreme case that all of the subsets have the same cardinality). For each one of these partitions choose a permutation of $\{1, \dots, \ell d\}$ which sends each partition element to one of the $A_{j,i}$ with the same cardinality. Write $\mathcal{S}$ for the collection of these permutations. Then the conjugates of ψ by the permutations in $\mathcal{S}$, when restricted to E, are pairwise distinct by construction. It follows using Lemma 2.13 and Stirling's approximation that

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{s}(\mathscr{G}) + \varepsilon \geq \underline{s}_E(I_{\mathscr{G}}) + \varepsilon &\geq \limsup_{d \to \infty} \frac{1}{\ell d \log(\ell d)} \log |\mathrm{SA}(L, n, \delta, \ell d)|_E \\ &\geq \limsup_{d \to \infty} \frac{1}{\ell d \log(\ell d)} \log \left(\frac{|A|!}{n_1!^d \cdots n_k!^d (dk)!} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \limsup_{d\to\infty} \frac{1}{\ell d \log(\ell d)} \log\left(\frac{((1-\varepsilon)\ell d)^{(1-\varepsilon)\ell d}}{n_1^{dn_1}\cdots n_k^{dn_k}(dk)^{dk}}\right) \\
&\geq \limsup_{d\to\infty} \left[1-\varepsilon - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i \log n_i}{\ell\log(\ell d)} - \frac{k\log(dk)}{\ell \log(\ell d)}\right] \\
&= 1-\varepsilon-\frac{k}{\ell} \\
&\geq (1-\varepsilon)\left(1-\frac{1}{m}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have assumed that ℓ was chosen sufficiently large as a function of k and m in order to guarantee the last inequality. Since ε was an arbitrary positive number and m an arbitrary integer greater than 1, we conclude that $\underline{s}(\mathscr{G}) \geq 1$. □

Proposition 2.15. *Let F be a finite subset of $\mathscr{G}$. Then $s(F) \leq |F|$.*

Proof. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta > 0$, and $d \in \mathbb{N}$ the number of restrictions $\sigma|_\Omega$ where $\sigma \in \mathrm{SA}(F,\delta,n,d)$ is at most $(d!)^{|F|}$, which for a given $\varepsilon > 0$ is less than $d^{(1+\varepsilon)|F|d}$ for all sufficiently large d by Stirling's approximation, giving the result. □

Proposition 2.15 immediately implies the following.

Proposition 2.16. *The quantity $s(\mathscr{G})$ is bounded above by the smallest cardinality of a set of generators for $\mathscr{G}$.*

3. GROUPS

Throughout this section G is a countable discrete group. In this case I_G can be identified with G. We will simply record here some basic facts, and then discuss amalgamated free products and amenability in the next section.

For the purpose of formulating sofic dimension in the case of groups it is equivalent and technically more convenient to work with maps into S_d instead of I_d, so that the sofic models for group elements are full permutation matrices. We will also write σ_s instead of $\sigma(s)$ for the image of an element $s \in G$ under a map $\sigma : G \to S_d$. Given a finite set $F \subseteq G$, $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$, and a $\delta > 0$, we write $\mathrm{GA}(F,n,\delta,d)$ for the set of all identity-preserving maps $\sigma : G \to S_d$ such that

(1) $\|\sigma_{s_1,\dots,s_n} - \sigma_{s_1}\cdots\sigma_{s_n}\|_2 < \delta$ for all $(s_1,\dots s_n) \in (F \cup F^* \cup \{e\})^{\times n}$, and
(2) $\mathrm{tr}_d(\sigma_s) < \delta$ for all $s \in (F \cup F^* \cup \{e\})^n$,

For a finite set $E \subseteq G$ we write $|\mathrm{GA}(F,n,\delta,d)|_E$ for the cardinality of $\mathrm{GA}(F,n,\delta,d)$ modulo equality on E, i.e., the cardinality of the set of restrictions $\sigma|_E$ where $\sigma \in \mathrm{GA}(F,n,\delta,d)$. By a straightforward argument that uses Lemma 2.5 to handle the problem that the images of a group element under maps in $\mathrm{SA}(F,n,\delta,d)$ need not have full domain and that also requires perturbing maps in $\mathrm{SA}(F,n,\delta,d)$ so as to be identity-preserving, one can readily verify that

$$s_E(F,n) = \inf_{\delta>0}\limsup_{d\to\infty}\frac{1}{d\log d}\log|\mathrm{GA}(F,n,\delta,d)|_E$$

and

$$\underline{s}_E(F,n) = \inf_{\delta>0}\liminf_{d\to\infty}\frac{1}{d\log d}\log|\mathrm{GA}(F,n,\delta,d)|_E.$$

The following are special cases of Propositions 2.14 and 2.16, respectively.

Proposition 3.1. *If G is sofic and infinite then $\underline{s}(G) \geq 1$.*

Proposition 3.2. *The quantity $s(G)$ is bounded above by the smallest cardinality of a set of generators for G.*

Proposition 3.3. *Let H be a finite index subgroup of G. Then*

$$(s(H)-1) \leq [G:H](s(G)-1).$$

Proof. Set $m = [G:H]$. Take a set R of representatives for the left cosets of H in G with $e \in R$. Define a map $\beta : G \to R$ by declaring $\beta(s)$ to be the unique element in $R \cap sH$ for every $s \in G$. Then, given any $s \in G$, writing $\beta(s)(\beta(s)^{-1}s)$ gives a unique expression of s as a product of an element in R and an element of H.

Let E be a finite subset of G containing R. Let $\kappa > 0$. Take a finite set $K \subseteq H$ such that $s_K(H) \geq s(H) - \kappa$. Let F be a finite symmetric subset of G containing R, and let $\delta > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $L = H \cap R^{-1}FR$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$, and let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\sigma \in \mathrm{GA}(L, n, \delta, d)$. Define a map $\omega : G \to \mathrm{Sym}(\{1, \dots, d\} \times R)$ by setting

$$\omega_s(c,t) = (\sigma_{\beta(st)^{-1}st}(c), \beta(st))$$

for all $s \in G$ and $(c,t) \in \{1, \dots, d\} \times R$. Now if $(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in F^{\times n}$ and $(c,t) \in \{1, \dots, d\} \times R$ then

$$\omega_{s_1\cdots s_n}(c,t) = \big(\sigma_{\beta(s_1\cdots s_nt)^{-1}s_1\cdots s_nt}(c), \beta(s_1\cdots s_nt)\big)$$

and, using the fact that $\beta(r_1\beta(r_2)) = \beta(r_1r_2)$ for all $r_1, r_2 \in G$,

$$\omega_{s_1}\cdots\omega_{s_n}(c,t) = \Bigg(\bigg(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{\beta(s_i\cdots s_nt)^{-1}s_i\beta(s_{i+1}\cdots s_nt)}\bigg)(c), \beta(s_1\cdots s_nt)\Bigg).$$

Now for every $t \in R$, the proportion of $c \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ such that

$$\sigma_{\beta(s_1\cdots s_nt)^{-1}s_1\cdots s_nt}(c) \neq \bigg(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{\beta(s_i\cdots s_nt)^{-1}s_i\beta(s_{i+1}\cdots s_nt)}\bigg)(c)$$

is equal to $\|\sigma_{\beta(s_1\cdots s_nt)^{-1}s_1\cdots s_nt} - \prod_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{\beta(s_i\cdots s_nt)^{-1}s_i\beta(s_{i+1}\cdots s_nt)}\|_2^2$. Since $\beta(s_i\cdots s_nt)^{-1}s_i\beta(s_{i+1}\cdots s_nt)$ is an element of L for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, we infer that $\|\omega_{s_1}\cdots\omega_{s_n} - \omega_{s_1\cdots s_n}\|_2 < \delta$. If $s = e$, then ω_s is the identity permutation, as required. If $s \in F\backslash\{e\}$, then for $t \in R$, either (i) $\beta(st) \neq t$, in which case $\omega_s(c,t) \neq (c,t)$ for every c, or (ii) $\beta(st) = t$, in which case $\beta(st)^{-1}st = t^{-1}st \neq e$, and the proportion of c for which $\omega_s(c,t) = (c,t)$ is less than δ; in either case, we have $|\mathrm{tr}(\omega_s)| < \delta$. Therefore $\omega \in \mathrm{GA}(F, \delta, n, md)$.

Note that $\omega_s(c,e) = (c,s)$ for every $s \in R$. Write $\mathscr{P}$ for the collection of all colorings of $\{1, \dots, md\}$ into d different colors $\{1, \dots, d\}$, with exactly m elements of each color. Given $P \in \mathscr{P}$ and $c \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ write P_c for the set of elements with color c and choose a bijection $\gamma_P : \{1, \dots, d\} \times R \to \{1, \dots, md\}$ such that $\gamma_P(\{(c,s) : s \in R\}) = P_c$ for each $c = 1, \dots, d$. Define $\sigma_P : G \to \mathrm{Sym}(md)$ by $s \mapsto \gamma_P\,\omega_s\gamma_P^{-1}$; this is an element of $\mathrm{GA}(F, \delta, n, md)$ since ω is. We thus have a map $(\sigma, P) \mapsto \sigma_P$ from $\mathrm{GA}(L, \delta, n, d) \times \mathscr{P}$ to $\mathrm{GA}(F, \delta, n, md)$. Observe that, given a $\rho \in \mathrm{GA}(F, \delta, n, md)$, at most $\frac{(md)!}{(md-d)!}$ many pairs $(\sigma, P) \in \mathrm{GA}(L, \delta, n, d) \times \mathscr{P}$ can have image σ_P which agrees with ρ on E, since $R \subseteq E$. Indeed if $\sigma_P|_E$ and the d values $x_c = \gamma_P(c,e)$

for $c = 1, \dots, d$ are specified, then the coloring P is determined by $P_c = \{\sigma_{P,s}(x_c) : s \in R\}$. Since P is determined, we know γ_P and recover σ. Thus, since $\frac{(md)!}{(md-d)!} \leq (md)^d$,

$$|\mathrm{GA}(F, \delta, n, md)|_E \geq \frac{|\mathscr{P}|}{(md)^d} |\mathrm{GA}(L, \delta, n, d)|_K = \frac{(md)!}{m!^d (md)^d} |\mathrm{GA}(L, \delta, n, d)|_K .$$

Therefore, employing Lemma 2.13 and using Stirling's approximation,

$$\begin{aligned} s_E(F) &\geq \limsup_{d\to\infty} \frac{1}{md \log md} \log \frac{(md)!}{m!^d (md)^d} + \frac{s_K(L)}{m} \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1}{m} + \frac{s(H)}{m} + \frac{\kappa}{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking an infimum over all finite sets $F \subseteq G$ and letting $\kappa \to 0$, we obtain

$$s(G) \geq s_E(G) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{m} + \frac{s(H)}{m},$$

yielding the result. □

Question 3.4. When is the inequality in the above proposition an equality?

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose that G is finite. Then*

$$s(G) = 1 - \frac{1}{|G|}.$$

Proof. Applying Proposition 3.3 with $H = \{e\}$ we obtain $s(G) \geq 1 - |G|^{-1}$ since obviously $s(\{e\}) = 0$. To complete the proof let us show that $s(G) \leq 1 - |G|^{-1}$. Set $m = |G|$. Let $0 < \kappa < 1$ be small and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. It is readily seen that if $n \geq 2$ and δ is small enough as a function of κ and $|G|$ then for every $\sigma \in \mathrm{GA}(G, \delta, n, d)$ the set

$$V_\sigma = \big\{c \in \{1, \dots, d\} : \sigma_{st}(c) = \sigma_s(\sigma_t(c)) \text{ for all } s, t \in G \text{ and } \sigma_s(c) \neq c \text{ for all } s \in G \setminus \{e\}\big\}.$$

will have cardinality at least $(1-\kappa)d$. Observe that each of the sets V_σ can be partitioned into $\sigma(G)$-invariant subsets of cardinality m, on each of which σ yields a transitive action of G (thus, a copy of G acting on itself by left multiplication). Let q be the smallest multiple of m which is no less than $(1-\kappa)d$. The number of subsets of $\{1, \dots, d\}$ of cardinality q is at most $\binom{d}{\kappa d}$ and the number of ways of partitioning each such subset into subsets of cardinality m is at most $q!/((m!)^{q/m}(q/m)!)$ and the number of ways G can act transitively on each of these sets is bounded above by $m!$. Since G can map to permutations on a set of cardinality at most κd in at most $((\kappa d)!)^m$ ways, we obtain

$$|\mathrm{GA}(G, n, \delta, d)| \leq \frac{q!}{(m!)^{q/m}(q/m)!} (m!)^{q/m} \binom{d}{\kappa d} ((\kappa d)!)^m.$$

Using $(1-\kappa)d \leq q \leq (1-\kappa)d + m$ and applying Stirling's approximation,

$$s(G) = s_G(G) \leq s_G(G, n, \delta) = \limsup_{d\to\infty} \frac{1}{d \log d} \log |\mathrm{GA}(G, \delta, n, d)| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{m} + \kappa m.$$

Since κ was an arbitrary number in $(0, 1)$ we conclude that $s(G) \leq 1 - 1/m$, as desired. □

4. Free product groups with amalgamation over amenable subgroups

We begin by establishing an upper bound for the sofic dimension of amalgamated free products. Recall that S_d acts on the set of maps $\sigma : G \to S_d$ by $(\gamma \cdot \sigma)_s = \gamma \sigma_s \gamma^{-1}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let G_1 and G_2 be countable discrete groups and H a common subgroup of G_1 and G_2. Then*

$$s(G_1 *_H G_2) \leq s(G_1) + s(G_2) - 1 + \frac{1}{|H|}.$$

Proof. Let $\kappa > 0$. Since $G_1 \cup G_2$ generates $G_1 *_H G_2$, by Theorem 2.11 there are nonempty finite sets $E_1 \subseteq G_1$ and $E_2 \subseteq G_2$ such that $s(G_1 *_H G_2) \leq s_{E_1 \cup E_2}(G_1 *_H G_2) + \kappa$. Take nonempty finite sets $F_1 \subseteq G_1$ and $F_2 \subseteq G_2$ such that $s_{E_1}(F_1) \leq s(G_1) + \kappa$ and $s_{E_1}(F_1) \leq s(G_2) + \kappa$. Note that, given $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$, for every $\sigma \in \mathrm{GA}(F_1 \cup F_2, \delta, n, d)$ we have $\sigma|_{G_1} \in \mathrm{GA}(F_1, \delta, n, d)$ and $\sigma|_{G_2} \in \mathrm{GA}(F_2, \delta, n, d)$.

Suppose first that H is finite. We may assume that $H \subseteq E_1$ and $H \subseteq E_2$. As the proof of Proposition 3.5 demonstrates, for all sufficiently large d, given a $\sigma \in \mathrm{GA}(F_1, \delta, n, d)$ the number of $\gamma \in S_d$ for which $(\gamma \cdot \sigma)|_H \neq \sigma|_H$ is at least $d^{d(1-1/|H|-\eta)}$ for some $\eta > 0$ which does not depend on d with $\eta \to 0$ as $\delta \to 0$. It follows that

$$|\mathrm{GA}(F_1 \cup F_2, \delta, n, d)|_{E_1 \cup E_2} \leq |\mathrm{GA}(F_1, \delta, n, d)|_{E_1} |\mathrm{GA}(F_2, \delta, n, d)|_{E_2} d^{-d(1-1/|H|-\eta)}.$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} s(G_1 *_H G_2) &\leq s_{E_1 \cup E_2}(F_1 \cup F_2) + \kappa \\ &\leq s_{E_1}(F_1) + s_{E_2}(F_2) + 1 - \frac{1}{|H|} + \kappa \\ &\leq s(G_1) + s(G_2) + 1 - \frac{1}{|H|} + 3\kappa \end{aligned}$$

Since κ was an arbitrary positive number this yields the desired inequality.

Suppose now that H is infinite. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By an argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.14 that produces a collection of sofic approximations on arbitrarily large finite sets by concatenating together sofic approximations on a fixed finite set and conjugating, we can find a finite set $H_0 \subseteq H$, an $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and a δ such that, for all sufficiently large d, given a $\sigma \in \mathrm{GA}(F_1, n, \delta, d)$ the number of restrictions of elements in $S_d \cdot \sigma$ to H_0 is at least $d^{d(1-\varepsilon)}$. Assuming that $H_0 \subseteq E_1$ and $H_0 \subseteq E_2$, this yields

$$|\mathrm{GA}(F_1 \cup F_2, n, \delta, d)|_{E_1 \cup E_2} \leq |\mathrm{GA}(F_1, n, \delta, d)|_{E_1} |\mathrm{GA}(F_2, n, \delta, d)|_{E_2} d^{-d(1-\varepsilon)},$$

which again leads to the desired inequality. ☐

Our goal now is to establish the reverse inequality for lower sofic dimension under the assumption that the common subgroup is amenable (Lemma 4.8).

The following is a perturbative version of the universal property for amalgamated free products.

Lemma 4.2. *Let G_1 and G_2 be countable discrete groups and H a common subgroup. Let $F_1 \subseteq G_1$ and $F_2 \subseteq G_2$ be finite symmetric sets both containing e. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$. Then there are an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sigma : G_1 \to S_d$ and $\omega : G_2 \to S_d$ are identity-preserving maps satisfying*

(1) $\|\sigma_s - \omega_s\|_2 < \varepsilon$ *for all* $s \in F_1^m \cap F_2^m$,
(2) $\|\sigma_{st} - \sigma_s\sigma_t\|_2 < \varepsilon$ *for all* $s, t \in F_1^m$, *and*
(3) $\|\omega_{st} - \omega_s\omega_s\|_2 < \varepsilon$ *for all* $s, t \in F_2^m$,

then there is an identity-preserving map $\rho : G_1 *_H G_2 \to S_d$ *satisfying*

(4) $\|\rho_s - \sigma_s\|_2 < \delta$ *for all* $s \in F_1$,
(5) $\|\rho_s - \omega_s\|_2 < \delta$ *for all* $s \in F_2$, *and*
(6) $\|\rho_{s_1\cdots s_r} - \rho_{s_1}\cdots\rho_{s_r}\|_2 < \delta$ *for all* $r = 2, \dots, n$ *and* $s_1, \dots, s_r \in F_1 \cup F_2$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that no such m and ε exist. We may assume that G_1 is generated by F_1 and G_2 is generated by F_2. Then for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we can find a $d_k \in \mathbb{N}$ and identity-preserving maps $\sigma_k : G_1 \to S_{d_k}$ and $\omega_k : G_2 \to S_{d_k}$ such that $\|\sigma_{k,s} - \omega_{k,s}\|_2 < 1/k$ for all $s \in F_1^k \cap F_2^k$, $\|\sigma_{k,st} - \sigma_{k,s}\sigma_{k,t}\|_2 < 1/k$ for all $s, t \in F_1^k$, and $\|\omega_{k,st} - \omega_{k,s}\omega_{k,t}\|_2 < 1/k$ for all $s, t \in F_2^k$ but there is no identity-preserving map $\rho : G_1 *_H G_2 \to S_{d_k}$ such that $\rho|_{G_1} = \sigma_k$, $\rho|_{G_2} = \omega_k$, and $\|\rho_{s_1\cdots s_r} - \rho_{s_1}\cdots\rho_{s_r}\|_2 < \delta$ for all $r = 2, \dots, n$ and $s_1, \dots, s_r \in F_1 \cup F_2$. Take a nonprincipal ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ on $\mathbb{N}$. Write $\mathscr{N}$ for the normal subgroup of $\mathscr{G} = \prod_{k=1}^\infty S_{d_k}$ consisting of all sequences $(g_k)_k$ such that $\lim_{k\to\mathcal{U}} \|g_k - \mathrm{id}\|_2 = 0$. Let $\pi : \mathscr{G} \to \mathscr{G}/\mathscr{N}$ be the quotient map. Define $\sigma' : G_1 \to \mathscr{N}$ by $\sigma'_s = \pi((\sigma_{k,s})_k)$ and $\omega' : G_2 \to \mathscr{N}$ by $\omega'_s = \pi((\omega_{k,s})_k)$. Then σ' and ω' are homomorphisms since F_1 and F_2 are symmetric and both contain e, and they agree on H. It follows by the universal property of the amalgamated free product there is a homomorphism $\gamma : G_1 *_H G_2 \to \mathscr{G}/\mathscr{N}$ such that $\gamma|_{G_1} = \sigma'$ and $\gamma|_{G_2} = \omega'$. Choose a lift $\tilde{\gamma} : G_1 *_H G_2 \to \mathscr{G}$ of γ, which we may take to be identity-preserving. Then for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the composition $\rho = \pi_m \circ \gamma$, where $\pi_m : \mathscr{G} = \prod_{k=1}^\infty S_{d_k} \to S_{d_m}$ is the projection, satisfies $\|\rho_s - \sigma_{m,s}\|_2 < \delta$ for all $s \in F_1$, $\|\rho_s - \omega_{m,s}\|_2 < \delta$ for all $s \in F_2$, and $\|\rho_{s_1\cdots s_r} - \rho_{s_1}\cdots\rho_{s_r}\|_2 < \delta$ for all $r = 2, \dots, n$ and $s_1, \dots, s_r \in F_1 \cup F_2$, a contradiction. □

Next we record a special case of Lemma 4.5 of [13], which is based on the quasitiling theorem of Orntein and Weiss [17]. For a finite set D and an $\varepsilon \geq 0$, we say that a collection $\{A_i\}_{i\in I}$ of subsets of D is *ε-disjoint* if there exist pairwise disjoint sets $\widehat{A}_i \subseteq A_i$ such that $|\widehat{A}_i| \geq (1-\varepsilon)|A_i|$ for all $i \in I$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let G be a countable discrete group. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Then there are a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and an $\eta > 0$ such that whenever $e \in T_1 \subseteq T_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq T_k$ are finite subsets of G with $|(T_{j-1}^{-1}T_j)\setminus T_j| \leq \eta|T_j|$ for $j = 2, \dots, k$ there exists a finite set $E \subseteq G$ containing e and a $\delta > 0$ such that for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and every map $\sigma : G \to S_d$ satisfying $\|\sigma_{st} - \sigma_s\sigma_t\|_2 < \delta$ for all $s, t \in E$ and* $\mathrm{tr}(\sigma_s) < \delta$ *for all $s \in E^{-1}E \setminus \{e\}$ there exist $C_1, \dots, C_k \subseteq V$ such that*

(1) *for every $j = 1, \dots, k$ and $c \in C_j$, the map $s \mapsto \sigma_s(c)$ from T_j to $\sigma(T_j)c$ is bijective,*
(2) *the sets $\sigma(T_1)C_1, \dots, \sigma(T_k)C_k$ are pairwise disjoint and the family $\bigcup_{j=1}^k \{\sigma(T_j)c : c \in C_j\}$ is ε-disjoint and $(1-\varepsilon)$-covers $\{1, \dots, d\}$.*

The following lemma allows us, up to a perturbation which is uniform over all group elements, to replace the quasitiling in Lemma 4.3 with a genuine tiling on which a given finite subset of G acts in a uniform way (condition (7) below) so that its commutant has a simple description. This will be useful in the proof of Lemma 4.8.

Lemma 4.4. *Let G be a countable discrete group. Let F be a finite subset of G. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there are a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and an $\eta > 0$ such that if we are given*

(1) *finite subsets* $e \in T_1 \subseteq T_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq T_k$ *of* G *with* $|(T_{j-1}^{-1}T_j) \setminus T_j| \leq \eta|T_j|$ *for* $j = 2, \dots, k$ *and* $|FT_j \Delta T_j| \leq \eta|T_j|$ *for* $j = 1, \dots, k$*, and*

(2) *for each* $j = 1, \dots, k$ *and* $s \in F$ *a bijection* $\xi_{j,s} : T_j \to T_j$ *such that* $\xi_{j,s}(t) = st$ *whenever* $s \in F$ *and* $t \in s^{-1}T_j \cap T_j$*,*

then there exist a finite set $E \subseteq G$ *containing* e*, an* $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$*, and a* $\delta > 0$ *such that for all sufficiently large* $d \in \mathbb{N}$ *which each of* $|T_1|, |T_2|, \dots, |T_k|$ *divides there exist*

(3) *a map* $h : \{1, \dots, \ell\} \to \{1, \dots, k\}$*, and*

(4) *sets* $C_1, \dots, C_\ell \subseteq \{1, \dots, \ell d\}$ *for which* $|T_{h(i)}||C_i| = d$ *for every* $i = 1, \dots, \ell$

such that if $\sigma : G \to S_{\ell d}$ *is an identity-preserving map satisfying* $\|\sigma_{st} - \sigma_s\sigma_t\|_2 < \delta$ *for all* $s, t \in E$ *and* $\mathrm{tr}(\sigma_s) < \delta$ *for all* $s \in E^{-1}E \setminus \{e\}$ *then there is a map* $\sigma' : G \to S_{\ell d}$ *for which the following hold:*

(5) *there is a* $\gamma \in S_{\ell d}$ *such that* $\|\sigma'_s - (\gamma \cdot \sigma)_s\|_2 < \varepsilon$ *for all* $s \in G$*,*

(6) *for each* $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ *the map* $(s, c) \mapsto \sigma'_s(c)$ *from* $T_{h(i)} \times C_i$ *to* $\{1, \dots, \ell d\}$ *is injective.*

(7) $\sigma'_s\sigma'_t(c) = \sigma'_{\xi_{h(i),s}(t)}(c)$ *for every* $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ *and* $c \in C_i$*,* $s \in F$*, and* $t \in T_{h(i)}$*.*

Lemma 4.4 can be derived from Lemma 4.3 as follows. Given $T_1, \dots, T_k$ depending on ε as in Lemma 4.3, let σ be a map of the kind which is guaranteed to exist by Lemma 4.3 for some finite set $E \subseteq G$ and $\delta > 0$, with corresponding sets $C_1, \dots, C_k \subseteq V$. By ε-disjointness we can find pairwise disjoint subsets $A_{j,c} \subseteq \sigma(T_j)c$ with $|A_{j,c}| \geq (1 - \varepsilon)|\sigma(T_j)c|$ for $j = 1, \dots k$ and $c \in C_j$. Given a finite set $F \subseteq G$, for each $j = 1, \dots k$ and $c \in C_j$ write $B_{j,c}$ for the set of all $a \in A_{j,c}$ such that, writing $a = \sigma_t(c)$ where $t \in T_j$, we have

(i) $\sigma_s\sigma_t(c) \in A_{j,c}$ for all $s \in F$, and

(ii) $\sigma_{st}(c) = \sigma_s\sigma_t(c)$ for all $s \in F$.

We then construct a perturbation σ' of σ by declaring σ'_s for $s \in G$ to agree with σ_s on $B_{j,c}$ for all $c \in C_j$ and extending in an appropriate manner so as to satisfy (6) and (7), assuming the condition on d. One checks that if the sets T_j are sufficiently invariant under left translation by the elements of F, the ε in Lemma 4.3 small enough, the set E is chosen to contain F, and the δ is small enough, then the union of the sets $B_{j,c}$ will, as a subset of $\{1, \dots, d\}$, have proportional size close enough to one so as to yield $\|\sigma'_s - \sigma_s\|_2 < \varepsilon$ for all $s \in G$. The sets $C_1, \dots, C_k$ depend on σ, but any fixed choice of these will work for some conjugate of each σ in question, verifying (5).

Lemma 4.5. *For every* $\varepsilon > 0$ *we have*

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} \min_{A \in I_d} \frac{1}{d!^2} |\{(U, V) \in S_d \times S_d : \mathrm{tr}(UAV^*) < \varepsilon\}| = 1.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since the map $(U, V) \mapsto V^*U$ from $S_d \times S_d$ to S_d is $d!$-to-1 and $\mathrm{tr}(UAV^*) = \mathrm{tr}(V^*UA)$ for all $A \in I_d$, it is enough to prove that

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} \min_{A \in I_d} \frac{1}{d!} |\{U \in S_d : \mathrm{tr}(UA) < \varepsilon\}| = 1. \tag{$*$}$$

Let $A \in I_d$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Take a $W \in S_d$ such that $AW = AA^*$. If $U \in S_d$ satisfies $\mathrm{tr}(U) < \varepsilon$ then, writing $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d$ for the standard basis vectors of $\mathbb{C}^d$,

$$\mathrm{tr}(WUA) = \mathrm{tr}(UAW) = \mathrm{tr}(UAA^*)$$

$$= \frac{1}{d}\sum_{k=1}^{d}\langle UA^*A\delta_k, \delta_k\rangle \leq \frac{1}{d}\sum_{k=1}^{d}\langle U\delta_k, \delta_k\rangle = \mathrm{tr}(U) < \varepsilon.$$

Since the map $U \mapsto WU$ is a bijection from S_d to itself, we obtain

$$|\{U \in S_d : \mathrm{tr}(UA) < \varepsilon\}| \geq |\{U \in S_d : \mathrm{tr}(U) < \varepsilon\}|.$$

Now it is well known that, for a fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the proportion of permutations of $\{1, \dots, d\}$ which have exactly k fixed points tends to $e^{-1}/k!$ as $d \to \infty$ (see [19], Chap. 3, Sect. 5). It follows that $\lim_{d\to\infty} |\{U \in S_d : \mathrm{tr}(U) < \varepsilon\}|/d! = 1$, yielding $(*)$. □

The following result is a standard sort of strengthening of Theorem 2.1 of [5] based on concentration results of Gromov and Milman [10]. Let $C_n > 0$ be the constant in Theorem 2.1 of [5].

Lemma 4.6. *Let* $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $\varepsilon > 0$. *For* $k = 1, \dots, 2n$ *and* $d \in \mathbb{N}$ *let* $A_k^{(d)} \in I_d$. *Set*

$$\Omega_{d,\varepsilon} = \Big\{ U \in S_d : \mathrm{tr}\big(A_1^{(d)}(UA_2^{(d)}U^*)A_3^{(d)}(UA_4^{(d)}U^*)\cdots A_{2n-1}^{(d)}(UA_{2n}^{(d)}U^*)\big) \\ < C_n \max_{1\leq k\leq 2n} \mathrm{tr}_d\big(A_k^{(d)}\big) + \varepsilon \Big\}.$$

Then $\lim_{d\to\infty} |\Omega_{d,\varepsilon}|/d! = 1$.

Proof. From Theorem 2.1 of [5], we have

$$\frac{1}{d!}\sum_{U\in S_d} \mathrm{tr}\big(A_1^{(d)}(UA_2^{(d)}U^*)B_3^{(d)}(UA_4^{(d)}U^*)\cdots B_{2n-1}^{(d)}(UA_{2n}^{(d)}U^*)\big) \\ \leq C_n \max_{1\leq k\leq 2n} \mathrm{tr}(A_k^{(d)}) + \frac{D_n}{d}, \qquad (*)$$

for certain constants C_n and D_n depending only on n. Note that

$$0 \leq \mathrm{tr}_d\big(A_1(UA_2U^*)B_3(UA_4U^*)\cdots B_{2n-1}(UA_{2n}U^*)\big) \leq 1$$

for all $U \in S_d$ and all $A_j \in I_d$. Let $f(d) = \max_{1\leq k\leq 2n} \mathrm{tr}_d(A_k^{(d)})$. From $(*)$ we get $|\Omega_{d,\varepsilon}|/d! \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{C_n f(d)+2\varepsilon}$ for all sufficiently large d. Since $f(d) \leq 1$ for all d, we infer that $\liminf_{d\to\infty} |\Omega_{d,\varepsilon}|/d! > 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Expressing the normalized Hamming distance

$$\rho_d(U, U^*) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}_d(|U - U^*|^2) = \frac{1}{2}\|U - U^*\|_2^2$$

in terms of the 2-norm and using the Cauchy-Schwarz and triangle inequalities, we find that for every $\delta > 0$ there is an $\eta > 0$ such that $N_\eta(\Omega_{d,\varepsilon}) \subseteq \Omega_{d,\varepsilon+\delta}$, where $N_\eta(\cdot)$ denotes the η-neighbourhood with respect to normalized Hamming distance. Gromov and Milman observe in Remark 3.6 of [10] that results of Maurey [15] imply that the symmetric groups S_d equipped with normalized Hamming metrics and uniform probability measures form a Lévy family as $d \to \infty$ (see Chapter 7 of [16]). Therefore, $\lim_{d\to\infty} |N_\eta(\Omega_{d,\varepsilon})|/d! = 1$ for all $\eta > 0$, yielding the lemma. □

Lemma 4.7. *Let* $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $\varepsilon > 0$. *Let* $\{Z_1, \dots, Z_\ell\}$ *be a partition of* $\{1, \dots, m\}$. *Then there is a* $\delta > 0$ *such that the following holds. For* $d \in \mathbb{N}$ *fix an identification of* M_{md} *with* $M_m \otimes M_d$ *which pairs off matrix units with tensors products of matrix units, and for each* $k = 1, \dots, 2n$ *let* $A_k^{(d)}$ *be a partial permutation matrix in* M_{md} *such that, writing* $A_k^{(d)} = \sum_{i,j=1}^m E_{i,j} \otimes A_{k,i,j}^{(d)} \in M_m \otimes M_d$ *where the* $E_{i,j}$ *are matrix units, one has*

$$\max_{q=1,\dots,\ell} \max_{(i,j) \in Z_q \times Z_q} \operatorname{tr}_d(A_{k,i,j}^{(d)}) < \delta.$$

Write $\mathscr{X}_d$ *for the set of all permutation matrices in* M_{md} *of the form* $\sum_{i=1}^\ell P_i \otimes V_i \in M_m \otimes M_d$ *where* P_i *is the characteristic function of* Z_i *viewed as a diagonal matrix in* M_m. *Set*

$$\Upsilon_{d,\varepsilon} = \bigg\{ U \in \mathscr{X}_d : \operatorname{tr}_{md}\bigg(\prod_{k=1}^n A_{2k-1}^{(d)} \big(U A_{2k}^{(d)} U^* \big) \bigg) < \varepsilon \bigg\}.$$

Then $\lim_{d \to \infty} |\Upsilon_{d,\varepsilon}| / |\mathscr{X}_d| = 1$.

Proof. Since the map $(U, V) \mapsto V^*UV$ from $\mathscr{X}_d \times \mathscr{X}_d$ to $\mathscr{X}_d$ is $|\mathscr{X}_d|$-to-1, it suffices to prove that the set

$$\Lambda_{d,\varepsilon} = \bigg\{ (U, V) \in \mathscr{X}_d \times \mathscr{X}_d : \operatorname{tr}_{md}\bigg(\prod_{k=1}^n A_{2k-1}^{(d)} \big(V^*UV A_{2k}^{(d)} (V^*UV)^* \big) \bigg) < \varepsilon \bigg\}.$$

satisfies $\lim_{d \to \infty} |\Lambda_{d,\varepsilon}| / |\mathscr{X}_d|^2 = 1$. Let $\delta > 0$, to be specified. Define $h : \{1, \dots, m\} \to \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ so that $i \in Z_{h(i)}$ for every $i = 1, \dots, m$. Write $\mathscr{Y}_d$ for the set of all $\sum_{r=1}^\ell P_r \otimes V_r \in \mathscr{X}_d$ such that $\operatorname{tr}(V_{h(i)} A_{k,i,j}^{(d)} V_{h(j)}^*) < \delta$ for all $k = 1, \dots, 2n$ and $i, j = 1, \dots, m$ with $h(i) \neq h(j)$. By multiple applications of Lemma 4.5 we infer that $\lim_{d \to \infty} |\mathscr{Y}_d| / |\mathscr{X}_d| = 1$.

Let $V = \sum_{r=1}^\ell P_r \otimes V_r \in \mathscr{Y}_d$. Write $\mathscr{X}_{d,V}$ for the set of all $U \in \mathscr{X}_d$ such that

$$\operatorname{tr}_{md}\bigg(\prod_{k=1}^n V A_{2k-1}^{(d)} V^* \big(U V A_{2k}^{(d)} V^* U^* \big) \bigg) < \varepsilon$$

Let $U = \sum_{r=1}^\ell P_r \otimes U_r \in \mathscr{X}_{d,V}$. The (i, i) entry of $\prod_{k=1}^n V A_{2k-1}^{(d)} V^* (U V A_{2k}^{(d)} V^* U^*)$ in $M_m(M_d) \cong M_m \otimes M_d$ is equal to the sum over all $(i_1, \dots, i_{2n-1}) \in \{1, \dots, m\}^{2n-1}$ of the products

$$\prod_{k=1}^n V_{h(i_{2k-2})} A_{2k-1, i_{2k-2}, i_{2k-1}}^{(d)} V_{h(i_{2k-1})}^* \big(U_{h(i_{2k-1})} V_{h(i_{2k-1})} A_{2k, i_{2k-1}, i_{2k}}^{(d)} V_{h(i_{2k})}^* U_{h(i_{2k})}^* \big)$$

where $i_0 = i_{2n} = i$. Now given $k \in \{1, \dots, 2n\}$ and $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, if $h(i) \neq h(j)$ then $\operatorname{tr}(V_{h(i)} A_{k,i,j}^{(d)} V_{h(j)}^*) < \delta$ since $V \in \mathscr{Y}_d$, while if $h(i) = h(j)$ then by hypothesis

$$\operatorname{tr}(V_{h(i)} A_{k,i,j}^{(d)} V_{h(j)}^*) = \operatorname{tr}(A_{k,i,j}^{(d)}) < \delta.$$

It follows by Lemma 4.6 that if δ is small enough as a function of ε, m, and n then setting

$$\Omega_{d,V,\varepsilon} = \bigg\{ U \in \mathscr{X}_V : \operatorname{tr}_{md}\bigg(\prod_{k=1}^n V A_{2k-1}^{(d)} V^* \big(U V A_{2k}^{(d)} V^* U^* \big) \bigg) < \varepsilon \bigg\}$$

we have $\lim_{d\to\infty}\min_{V\in\mathscr{Y}_d}|\Omega_{d,V,\varepsilon}|/|\mathscr{X}_d| = 1$. Since $\bigcup_{V\in\mathscr{Y}_d}\{(U,V) : U \in \Omega_{d,V,\varepsilon}\}$ is contained in $\Lambda_{d,\varepsilon}$ and $\lim_{d\to\infty}|\mathscr{Y}_d|/|\mathscr{X}_d| = 1$ from above, we conclude that $\lim_{d\to\infty}|\Lambda_{d,\varepsilon}|/|\mathscr{X}_d|^2 = 1$, as desired. □

Recall that for sets $W \subseteq X$ and Z and a collection $\mathscr{Y}$ of maps from X to Z we write $|\mathscr{Y}|_W$ for the cardinality of the the set of restrictions of elements of $\mathscr{Y}$ to W.

Lemma 4.8. *Let G_1 and G_2 be countable discrete groups with common amenable subgroup H. Then*

$$\underline{s}(G_1 *_H G_2) \geq \underline{s}(G_1) + \underline{s}(G_2) - 1 + \frac{1}{|H|}.$$

Proof. Let $\eta > 0$. Then there exist finite sets $E_1 \subseteq G_1$ and $E_2 \subseteq G_2$ such that $\underline{s}_{E_1}(G_1) \geq \underline{s}(G_1) - \eta$ and $\underline{s}_{E_1}(G_2) \geq \underline{s}(G_2) - \eta$. Since $G_1 \cup G_2$ generates $G_1 *_H G_2$, by Theorem 2.11 we can find a finite symmetric sets $F_1 \subseteq G_1$ and $F_2 \subseteq G_2$ each containing e such that $\underline{s}_{E_1\cup E_2}(G_1 *_H G_2) \geq \underline{s}_{E_1\cup E_2}(F_1 \cup F_2) - \eta$. We may assume that $E_2 \subseteq F_2$.

Let $\delta > 0$ and let n be an integer greater than 2. By Lemma 4.2 there are an integer $M \geq n$ and a $\delta' > 0$ such that if $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sigma : G_1 \to S_d$ and $\omega : G_2 \to S_d$ are identity-preserving maps satisfying $\sigma_s = \omega_s$ for all $s \in F_1^M \cap F_2^M$, $\|\sigma_{st} - \sigma_s\sigma_t\|_2 < \delta'$ for all $s, t \in F_1^M$, and $\|\omega_{st} - \omega_s\omega_t\|_2 < \delta'$ for all $s, t \in F_2^M$, then there is an identity-preserving map $\rho : G_1 *_H G_2 \to S_d$ for which $\|\rho_s - \sigma_s\|_2 < \delta/4n$ for all $s \in F_1^n$, $\|\rho_s - \omega_s\|_2 < \delta/4n$ for all $s \in F_2^n$, and $\|\rho_{s_1\cdots s_r} - \rho_{s_1}\cdots\rho_{s_r}\|_2 < \delta/4$ for all $r = 2, \dots, n$ and $s_1, \dots, s_r \in F_1^n \cup F_2^n$.

Set $F = (F_1^{-1}F_1)^M \cap (F_2^{-1}F_2)^M$, which is a subset of H. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, to be determined below. Since H is amenable, Lemma 4.4 shows that we can find finite subsets $e \in T_1 \subseteq T_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq T_k$ of H, a finite set $K \subseteq H$ containing T_k, an $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, and an $\eta > 0$ such that, choosing for each $j = 1, \dots, k$ and $s \in F$ a bijection $\xi_{j,s} : T_j \to T_j$ such that $\xi_{j,s}(t) = st$ whenever $s \in F$ and $t \in s^{-1}T_j \cap T_j$, for all sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{N}$ which each of $|T_1|, |T_2|, \dots, |T_k|$ divides there exist

- (i) a map $h : \{1, \dots, \ell\} \to \{1, \dots, k\}$, and
- (ii) sets $C_1, \dots, C_\ell \subseteq \{1, \dots, \ell m\}$ for which $|T_{h(i)}||C_i| = m$ for every $i = 1, \dots, \ell$

such that if σ is an identity-preserving map from some group G_0 containing H to $S_{\ell m}$ satisfying $\|\sigma_{st} - \sigma_s\sigma_t\|_2 < \eta$ for all $s, t \in K$ and $\mathrm{tr}_{\ell m}(\sigma_s) < \eta$ for all $s \in K^{-1}K \setminus \{e\}$ then there is a map $\sigma' : G_0 \to S_{\ell m}$ satisfying the following:

- (iii) there is a $W \in S_{\ell m}$ such that $\|\sigma'_s - (W \cdot \sigma)_s\|_2 < \varepsilon$ for all $s \in H$ and $\sigma'_s = (W \cdot \sigma)_s$ for all $s \in G_0 \setminus H$ (this last condition can be achieved by simply defining σ' this way on $G_0 \setminus H$ after initially obtaining σ' on H from Lemma 4.4, as this won't affect the following conditions (iv) and (v)),
- (iv) for each $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ the map $(s, c) \mapsto \sigma'_s(c)$ from $T_{h(i)} \times C_i$ to $\{1, \dots, \ell m\}$ is injective,
- (v) $\sigma'_s\sigma'_t(c) = \sigma'_{\xi_{h(i),s}(t)}(c)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, $c \in C_i$, $s \in F$, and $t \in T_{h(i)}$.

As we indicated before Lemma 4.4, the reason for using the maps $\xi_{j,s}$ to obtain condition (v) is to ensure that the commutant of the matrices σ'_s for $s \in F$ has a certain simple structure. We may assume that $|T_j|$ divides $|T_k|$ for each $j = 2, \dots, k$, for if H is infinite then we can take T_k to have cardinality much larger than $|T_{k-1}|$ and then adding to it at most $|T_1|\cdots|T_{k-1}|$ extra arbitrary elements of H, which will have negligible effect on the approximate invariance, while if H is finite then we may simply assume that $k = 1$ and $T_1 = H$. Set $N_j = |T_j|$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$ and $N = N_k$.

Let δ'' be a positive number less than $\delta'/(2\ell N)$ to be further specified. Let δ''' be a positive number less than $\ell N\delta''/2$ and η, to be further specified. Let h and $C_1, \dots, C_\ell$ be as in (i) and (ii) above with the m there taken to be Nd for a given $d \in \mathbb{N}$ which is sufficiently large. For economy write L_1 for $(F_1^{-1}F_1)^M \cup K$ and L_2 for $(F_2^{-1}F_2)^M \cup K$. Write $\mathscr{Y}_1$ for the set of all $\sigma \in \mathrm{SA}(L_1, n, \delta''', \ell Nd)$ for which there exists a $W \in S_{\ell Nd}$ such that, setting $\tilde{\sigma} = W \cdot \sigma$,

1. for each $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ the map $(s, c) \mapsto \tilde{\sigma}_s(c)$ from $T_{h(i)} \times C_i$ to $\{1, \dots, \ell Nd\}$ is injective,
2. $\tilde{\sigma}_s\tilde{\sigma}_t(c) = \tilde{\sigma}_{\xi_{h(i),s}(t)}(c)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, $c \in C_i$, $s \in F$, and $t \in T_{h(i)}$.

Write $\mathscr{Y}_2$ for the set of all $\sigma \in \mathrm{SA}(L_2, n, \delta''', \ell Nd)$ satisfying the same property. Now if ε is small enough as a function of δ', $|F|$, and n then, by a simple approximation argument, given a $\sigma \in \mathrm{SA}(L_1, n, \delta'''/2, \ell Nd)$ any other map $\sigma' : G_1 \to S_{\ell Nd}$ satisfying $\|\sigma'_s - \sigma_s\|_2 < \varepsilon$ for all $s \in L_1$ will lie in $\mathrm{SA}(L_1, n, \delta''', \ell Nd)$. It follows by Lemma 2.5 and our invocation of Lemma 4.4 above that there is a $\kappa > 0$ not depending on d with $\kappa \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ such that $|\mathscr{Y}_1|_{E_1} \geq d^{-\kappa d}|\mathrm{SA}(L_1, n, \delta'''/2, \ell Nd)|_{E_1}$. By the same argument we may also assume that $|\mathscr{Y}_2|_{E_2} \geq d^{-\kappa d}|\mathrm{SA}(L_2, n, \delta'''/2, \ell Nd)|_{E_2}$.

Let $\sigma \in \mathscr{Y}_1$ and $\omega \in \mathscr{Y}_2$. By relabeling the elements of $\{1, \dots, \ell Nd\}$ we may assume for notational simplicity that

1. for each $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ the map $(s, c) \mapsto \sigma_s(c)$ from $T_{h(i)} \times C_i$ to $\{1, \dots, \ell Nd\}$ is injective,
2. $\sigma_s\sigma_t(c) = \sigma_{\xi_{h(i),s}(t)}(c)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, $c \in C_i$, $s \in F$, and $t \in T_{h(i)}$.

Then we can find a $W \in S_{\ell Nd}$ such that $W \cdot \omega$ satisfies the same above two conditions as σ. Set $\omega' = W \cdot \omega \in \mathscr{Y}_2$. Note in particular that $\omega'|_F = \sigma|_F$.

For $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, write A_i for the set $\sigma(T_{h(i)})C_i = \omega(T_{h(i)})C_i$, which has cardinality Nd. We identify the subalgebra $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(A_i)) \cong M_{Nd}$ of $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\{1, \dots, \ell Nd\})) \cong M_{\ell Nd}$ with $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(T_{h(i)})) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(C_i)) \cong M_{N_{h(i)}} \otimes M_{Nd/N_{h(i)}}$ in a way such that the elements ω'_s for $s \in F$ are of the form $U \otimes 1$. Fix an identification $M_{Nd/N_{h(i)}} \cong M_{N/N_{h(i)}} \otimes M_d$ under which matrix units pair with tensor products of matrix units. This gives us an identification $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(A_i)) \cong M_{N_{h(i)}} \otimes M_{N/N_{h(i)}} \otimes M_d$. Writing P_i for the characteristic function of A_i viewed as a diagonal matrix in $M_{\ell Nd}$, for $t \in (F_1^n \cup F_2^n) \setminus H$ we express $P_i\sigma_tP_i$ (in the case $t \in G_1$) or $P_i\omega'_tP_i$ (in the case $t \in G_2$) as

$$\sum_{a,b \in T_{h(i)}} \sum_{p,q=1}^{N/N_{h(i)}} E_{a,b} \otimes E_{p,q} \otimes V^{(t)}_{i,a,b,p,q} \in M_{N_{h(i)}} \otimes M_{N/N_{h(i)}} \otimes M_d \cong \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(A_i))$$

where the $E_{a,b}$ and $E_{p,q}$ are matrix units. Write $\mathscr{X}_d$ for the set of all $U \in S_{\ell Nd}$ of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{p=1}^{N/N_{h(i)}} P_i \otimes E_{p,p} \otimes U_{i,p,p}$.

Let $t \in (F_1^n \cup F_2^n) \setminus H$, $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, and $p \in \{1, \dots N/N_{h(i)}\}$, and let a, b be distinct elements of $T_{h(i)}$. We will verify that $\mathrm{tr}_d(V^{(t)}_{i,a,b,p,p}) < \delta'$. Suppose first that $t \in F_1^n \setminus H$. Since $\sigma_b\sigma_{a^{-1}}$ sends $\sigma_a(C_i)$ to $\sigma_b(C_i)$, writing Q for the projection $E_{b,b} \otimes E_{p,p} \otimes 1_{M_d}$ we see that

$$E_{b,b} \otimes E_{p,p} \otimes V^{(t)}_{i,a,b,p,p} = \sigma_b\sigma_{a^{-1}}\big(E_{a,b} \otimes E_{p,p} \otimes V^{(t)}_{i,a,b,p,p}\big) = Q\sigma_b\sigma_{a^{-1}}\sigma_tQ$$

Also, since $\sigma \in \mathrm{SA}(L_1, n, \delta''', \ell Nd)$, $T_{h(i)} \subseteq L_1$, $t \in L_1$, and $n \geq 3$, we have $\|\sigma_{ba^{-1}t} - \sigma_b\sigma_{a^{-1}}\sigma_t\|_2 < \delta'''$. Since $Q\sigma_{ba^{-1}t}Q = E_{b,b} \otimes E_{p,p} \otimes V^{(ba^{-1}t)}_{i,b,b,p,p}$, it follows that if δ''' small enough, independently of d, then we will have $\|V^{(ba^{-1}t)}_{i,b,b,p,p} - V^{(t)}_{i,a,b,p,p}\|_{M_d,2} < \ell N\delta''$. Next note that σ, being an element of

$\mathrm{SA}(L_1, n, \delta''', \ell N d)$, satisfies $\mathrm{tr}_{\ell N d}(\sigma_{ba^{-1}t}) < \delta'''$, since $b, a^{-1}, t \in L_1$, $n \geq 3$, and $ba^{-1}t \neq e$ (as $ba^{-1} \in H$ and $t \notin H$). Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{tr}_d(V^{(t)}_{i,a,b,p,p}) &\leq \mathrm{tr}_d(V^{(ba^{-1}t)}_{i,b,b,p,p}) + \|V^{(ba^{-1}t)}_{i,b,b,p,p} - V^{(t)}_{i,a,b,p,p}\|_{M_d,2} \\ &< \ell N \mathrm{tr}_{\ell N d}(\sigma_{ba^{-1}t}) + \ell N \delta'' < \ell N \delta''' + \frac{\delta'}{2} < \delta'.\end{aligned}$$

By a similar argument using ω', we may also arrange, by taking δ'' smaller if necessary, that $\mathrm{tr}_d(V^{(t)}_{i,a,b,p,p}) < \delta'$ in the case that $t \in F_2^n \setminus H$. It follows by Lemma 4.7 that if δ' is small enough then for all sufficiently large d the set $\Upsilon_{d,\sigma,\omega,W}$ of all $U \in \mathscr{X}_d$ such that

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\ell N d}\bigg(\prod_{k=1}^{n} A^{(d)}_{2k-1}\big(U A^{(d)}_{2k} U^*\big)\bigg) < \frac{\delta}{2} \tag{†}$$

for all $A_1, \dots, A_{2n} \in \{\sigma_s : s \in F_1^n \setminus \{e\}\} \cup \{\omega'_s : s \in F_2^n \setminus \{e\}\}$ satisfies $\lim_{d\to\infty} |\Upsilon_{d,\sigma,\omega,W}|/|\mathscr{X}_d| = 1$ where the convergence is uniform with respect to σ, ω, and W. Take such a U and set $\omega'' = U \cdot \omega'$. By condition (v) above as it applies to ω', for every $s \in F$ the matrix ω'_s commutes with U and hence $\omega''_s = \omega'_s$.

Now by our application of Lemma 4.2 at the beginning of the proof there exists an identity-preserving map $\Omega : G_1 *_H G_2 \to S_d$ such that $\|\Omega_s - \sigma_s\|_2 < \delta/4n$ for all $s \in F_1^n$, $\|\Omega_s - \omega''_s\|_2 < \delta/4n$ for all $s \in F_2^n$, and $\|\Omega_{s_1 \cdots s_r} - \Omega_{s_1} \cdots \Omega_{s_r}\|_2 < \delta/4$ for all $r = 1, \dots, n$ and $s_1, \dots, s_r \in F_1^n \cup F_2^n$. Let us verify that Ω_s belongs to $\mathrm{SA}(F_1 \cup F_2, n, \delta, \ell N d)$. We need only check that Ω_s has trace less than δ when s is a word in $F_1 \cup F_2$ of length at most n which does not equal e. Given $r \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $s_1, \dots, s_r \in F_1 \cup F_2$ and supposing that $s_1 \cdots s_r \neq e$, we can write $s_1 \cdots s_r = t_1 \cdots t_v$ where $v \leq r$ and $t_1, \dots, t_v$ alternate membership in $F_1^n \setminus \{e\}$ and $F_2^n \setminus \{e\}$. Writing $\rho_{t_i} = \sigma_{t_i}$ if $t_i \in F_1$ and $\rho_{t_i} = \omega''_{t_i}$ if $t_i \in F_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Omega_{s_1 \cdots s_r} - \rho_{t_1} \cdots \rho_{t_v}\|_2 &\leq \|\Omega_{t_1 \cdots t_v} - \Omega_{t_1} \cdots \Omega_{t_v}\|_2 \\ &\qquad + \sum_{i=1}^{v} \|\rho_{t_1} \cdots \rho_{t_{i-1}}(\Omega_{t_i} - \rho_{t_i})\Omega_{t_{i+1}} \cdots \Omega_{t_v}\|_2 \\ &< \frac{\delta}{4} + \sum_{i=1}^{v} \|\Omega_{t_i} - \rho_{t_i}\|_2 < \frac{\delta}{4} + n \cdot \frac{\delta}{4n} \leq \frac{\delta}{2}\end{aligned}$$

and thus, using the estimate $\mathrm{tr}(\rho_{t_1} \cdots \rho_{t_v}) < \delta/2$ provided by (†),

$$\mathrm{tr}(\Omega_{s_1 \cdots s_r}) \leq \mathrm{tr}(\rho_{t_1} \cdots \rho_{t_v}) + \|\Omega_{s_1 \cdots s_r} - \rho_{t_1} \cdots \rho_{t_v}\|_2 < \delta.$$

Therefore $\Omega \in \mathrm{SA}(F_1 \cup F_2, n, \delta, \ell N d)$, as desired.

We finish the proof with the following counting argument. Note that Ω was obtained by amalgamating perturbations of σ and ω'', where the latter was obtained from ω by conjugating by W and then by U. Let $\gamma > 0$. The set Θ_d of all W that could have done the required job has cardinality at least $\prod_{j=1}^{k} (Nd/N_j)!^{|h^{-1}(j)|}$, which is at least $d!^{\ell}$, which for all sufficiently large d is at least $d^{\ell d(1-\gamma)}$. For all d larger than some d_0 not depending on σ, ω, or W, the set $\Upsilon_{d,\sigma,\omega,W}$ of all U that do the required job for a given W has cardinality at least $|\mathscr{X}_d|/2$. Set

$$\Lambda_{d,\sigma,\omega} = \big\{UW : W \in \Theta_d \text{ and } U \in \Upsilon_{d,\sigma,\omega,W}\big\}.$$

Taking a set Θ'_d of representatives for the orbits of the action of $\mathscr{X}_d$ on Θ_d by left multiplication and writing $\mathcal{T}_W$ for the set of all $U \in \mathscr{X}_d$ such that $UW = W$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Theta_d| = \sum_{W\in\Theta'_d} |\mathscr{X}_d W| = \sum_{W\in\Theta'_d} \frac{|\mathscr{X}_d|}{|\mathcal{T}_W|} &\leq 2 \sum_{W\in\Theta'_d} \frac{|\Upsilon_{d,\sigma,\omega,W}|}{|\mathcal{T}_W|} \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{W\in\Theta'_d} |\Upsilon_{d,\sigma,,\omega,W} W| \leq 2|\Lambda_{d,\sigma,,\omega}|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, writing $\mathcal{S}$ for the set of all $U \in S_{\ell Nd}$ such that $(U \cdot \omega)|_{E_2} = \omega|_{E_2}$ and assuming d is large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{\ell Nd} \cdot \omega|_{E_2} = \frac{|S_{\ell Nd}|}{|\mathcal{S}|} &\leq \frac{(\ell Nd)^{\ell Nd(1+\gamma)}}{|\mathcal{S}|} \cdot \frac{|\Theta_d|}{d^{\ell d(1-\gamma)}} \\ &\leq 2(\ell Nd)^{\ell Nd(1+\gamma)} d^{-\ell d(1-\gamma)} \frac{|\Lambda_{d,\sigma,\omega}|}{|\mathcal{S}|} \\ &\leq 2(\ell Nd)^{\ell Nd(1+\gamma)} d^{-\ell d(1-\gamma)} |\Lambda_{d,\sigma,\omega} \cdot \omega|_{E_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathscr{R}$ be a set of representatives for the orbits of the action of $S_{\ell Nd}$ on $\mathscr{Y}_2$ modulo the relation of equality on E_2. Then $|\mathscr{Y}_2|_{E_2} = \sum_{\omega\in\mathscr{R}} |S_{\ell Nd} \cdot \omega|_{E_2}$. Since $E_2 \subseteq L_2$, by Lemma 2.5 we see that if δ is small enough independently of d then, modulo the relation of equality on E_2, at most $(\ell Nd)^{\kappa\ell Nd}$ many $\omega \in \mathscr{Y}_2$ which all differ on E_2 can lead via our procedure to maps Ω which all agree on $E_1 \cup E_2$. Taking a set $\mathscr{Y}_1'$ of representatives for the relation on $\mathscr{Y}_1$ given by equality on E_1, we therefore obtain, for all sufficiently large d,

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathrm{SA}(F_1 \cup F_2, n, \delta, \ell Nd)|_{E_1\cup E_2} \\ &\quad\geq (\ell Nd)^{-\kappa\ell Nd} \sum_{\sigma\in\mathscr{Y}_1'} \sum_{\omega\in\mathscr{R}} |\Lambda_{d,\sigma,\omega} \cdot \omega|_{E_2} \\ &\quad\geq \frac{1}{2}(\ell Nd)^{-\ell Nd(1+\gamma+\kappa)} d^{\ell d(1-\gamma)} |\mathscr{Y}_1|_{E_1} \sum_{\omega\in\mathscr{R}} |S_{\ell Nd} \cdot \omega|_{E_2} \\ &\quad= \frac{1}{2}(\ell Nd)^{-\ell Nd(1+\gamma+\kappa)} d^{\ell d(1-\gamma)} |\mathscr{Y}_1|_{E_1} |\mathscr{Y}_2|_{E_2} \\ &\quad\geq \frac{1}{2}(\ell Nd)^{-\ell Nd(1+\gamma+3\kappa)} d^{\ell d(1-\gamma)} |\mathrm{SA}(L_1, n, \delta'''/2, \ell Nd)|_{E_1} |\mathrm{SA}(L_2, n, \delta'''/2, \ell Nd)|_{E_2} \end{aligned}$$

and hence, in view of Lemma 2.13,

$$\begin{aligned} &\liminf_{d\to\infty} \frac{1}{\ell Nd\log(\ell Nd)} |\mathrm{SA}(F_1 \cup F_2, n, \delta, \ell Nd)|_{E_1\cup E_2} \\ &\quad\geq \liminf_{d\to\infty} \frac{1}{\ell Nd\log(\ell Nd)} |\mathrm{SA}(L_1, n, \delta'''/2, \ell Nd)|_{E_1} \\ &\qquad + \liminf_{d\to\infty} \frac{1}{\ell Nd\log(\ell Nd)} |\mathrm{SA}(L_2, n, \delta'''/2, \ell Nd)|_{E_2} - 1 + \frac{1}{N}(1-\gamma) - \gamma - 3\kappa \\ &\quad\geq \underline{s}_{E_1}(L_1) + \underline{s}_{E_2}(L_2) - 1 + \frac{1}{N}(1-\gamma) - \gamma - 3\kappa. \end{aligned}$$

Since n was an arbitary postive integer, κ and γ can be taken arbitrarily small, and $|H| \geq |T_k| = N$, it follows that $\underline{s}_{E_1 \cup E_2}(F_1 \cup F_2) \geq \underline{s}_{E_1}(L_1) + \underline{s}_{E_2}(L_2) - 1 + |H|^{-1}$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{s}(G_1 *_H G_2) &\geq \underline{s}_{E_1 \cup E_2}(G_1 *_H G_2)\\ &\geq \underline{s}_{E_1 \cup E_2}(F_1 \cup F_2) - \eta\\ &\geq \underline{s}_{E_1}(G_1) + \underline{s}_{E_2}(G_2) - 1 + \frac{1}{|H|} - \eta\\ &\geq \underline{s}(G_1) + \underline{s}(G_2) - 1 + \frac{1}{|H|} - 3\eta.\end{aligned}$$

Since η was an arbitrary positive number we thereby obtain the result. □

Remark 4.9. Lemma 4.8, in conjunction with Propositions 3.1 and 3.5, gives a free probability proof that $G_1 *_H G_2$ is sofic whenever G_1 and G_2 are sofic countable discrete groups with common amenable subgroup H. This fact was established for monotileable H in [5] using similar free probability arguments, and in general in [7] by means of graph techniques and in [18] using Bernoulli shifts and equivalence relations.

Combining Lemmas 4.1 and 4.8 we obtain the following.

Theorem 4.10. *Let G_1 and G_2 be countable discrete groups with common amenable subgroup H. Suppose that G_1 and G_2 are approximation regular. Then $G_1 *_H G_2$ is approximation regular and*

$$s(G_1 *_H G_2) = s(G_1) + s(G_2) - 1 + \frac{1}{|H|}.$$

Corollary 4.11. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. Then $s(F_r) = \underline{s}(F_r) = r$.*

Proof. If $r < \infty$ then we can repeatedly apply Theorem 4.10 using the fact that $s(\mathbb{Z}) = \underline{s}(\mathbb{Z}) = 1$, which one can either compute directly or obtain from Theorem 4.12 below. Consider then the case $r = \infty$. Let $s_1, s_2, \dots$ be the standard generators for F_∞. Then, in the spirit of the proof of Lemma 4.7, for positive integers $n \leq m$ one can show by repeated application of Lemma 4.6 that a random choice of m permutations of a finite set $\{1, \dots, d\}$ will, with high probability, be a good sofic model for G up to within some prescribed precision. This will demonstrate that $s_{\{s_1,\dots,s_n\}}(\{s_1, \dots, s_m\}) = n$ and hence $\underline{s}_{\{s_1,\dots,s_n\}}(F_\infty) = n$, so that $\underline{s}(F_\infty) = \infty$ by Theorem 2.11. □

Theorem 4.12. *Suppose that G is amenable. Then $s(G) = \underline{s}(G) = 1 - |G|^{-1}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.5 we may assume that G is infinite. Then by Proposition 2.14 we have $s(G) \geq \underline{s}(G) \geq 1$. On the other hand, taking G_1, G_2, and H in Lemma 4.8 to be all equal to G yields $s(G) \leq 1$. □

The above theorem shows that all subsets of an amenable group are approximation regular, since amenability passes to subgroups.

5. GROUP ACTIONS

Throughout this section and the next G denotes a countable discrete group and (X, μ) a standard probability space, which are arbitrary unless otherwise specified. Let α a measure-preserving action of G on (X, μ). The notation α will actually be reserved for the induced action of G on $L^\infty(X,\mu)$, so that $\alpha_s(f)(x) = f(s^{-1}x)$ for $s \in G$, $f \in L^\infty(X,\mu)$, and $x \in X$, with concatenation being used for the action on X. For a set of projections $\mathcal{P} \subseteq L^\infty(X,\mu)$ and a nonempty finite set $F \subseteq G$, we write $\mathcal{P}_F$ for the set of the projections of the form $\prod_{s\in F} \alpha_s(p_s)$ where $p_s \in \mathcal{P}$. We say that a subset Ω of $L^\infty(X,\mu)$ is *dynamically generating* if the set $\bigcup_{s\in G}\{\alpha_s(a) : a \in \Omega\}$ generates $L^\infty(X,\mu)$ as a von Neumann algebra. In the case that Ω is a partition of unity consisting of projections this is the same as the underlying partition of X being generating for the action.

We write $s(G,X)$ for $s(\mathscr{G})$, $\underline{s}(G,X)$ for $\underline{s}(\mathscr{G})$, and $I_{G,X}$ for $I_{\mathscr{G}}$, where $\mathscr{G}$ is the p.m.p. groupoid associated to the action. For a group element s we write u_s for the corresponding element in $I_{G,X}$. We say that the action is *approximation regular* if $s(S,X) = \underline{s}(G,X)$.

For the purpose of working with $s(G,X)$ and $\underline{s}(G,X)$ it is often more convenient to handle the group and space components separately as follows. Let σ be a map from G to S_d for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$. The image σ_s of a group element s under σ will usually be interpreted as a permutation matrix in M_d. Viewed as such, σ_s gives rise to an automorphism $\operatorname{Ad}\sigma_s$ of $\mathbb{C}^d$ as identified with the algebra $\operatorname{diag}(M_d)$ of diagonal matrices in M_d. Let F be a nonempty finite subset of G and $\delta > 0$. Recall from the previous section that $\mathrm{GA}(F,n,\delta,d)$ denotes the set of all identity-preserving maps $\sigma : G \to S_d$ such that $\|\sigma_{s_1,\dots,s_n} - \sigma_{s_1}\cdots\sigma_{s_n}\|_2 < \delta$ for all $(s_1,\dots s_n) \in (F \cup F^* \cup \{e\})^{\times n}$ and $\operatorname{tr}(\sigma_s) < \delta$ for all $s \in (F \cup F^* \cup \{e\})^n$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a finite set of projections in $L^\infty(X,\mu)$. Write $\mathrm{HA}(F,\mathcal{P},n,\delta,d)$ for the set of all pairs (σ,φ) where $\sigma \in \mathrm{GA}(F,n,\delta,d)$ and φ is a unital homomorphism from $\operatorname{span}(\mathcal{P}_{F^n})$ to $\mathbb{C}^d = \operatorname{diag}(M_d)$ satisfying

(i) $|\operatorname{tr}\circ\varphi(p) - \mu(p)| < \delta$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}_{(F\cup F^*\cup\{e\})^n}$,
(ii) $\|\varphi\circ\alpha_s(p) - \operatorname{Ad}\sigma_s\circ\varphi(p)\|_2 < \delta$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $s \in (F\cup F^*\cup\{e\})^n$.

Given sets $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2, Z_1, Z_2$ and a collection $\mathscr{Y}$ of ordered pairs consisting of maps $A_1 \to Z_1$ and $A_2 \to Z_2$, we write $|\mathscr{Y}|_{B_1,B_2}$ for the cardinality of the set of pairs $(\sigma|_{B_1\cap A_1}, \varphi|_{B_2\cap A_2})$ where $(\sigma,\varphi) \in \mathscr{Y}$. For a finite set $E \subseteq G$ and a finite set $\mathcal{Q}$ of projections in $L^\infty(X,\mu)$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} s_{E,\mathcal{Q}}(F,\mathcal{P},n,\delta) &= \limsup_{d\to\infty} \frac{1}{d\log d} \log |\mathrm{HA}(F,\mathcal{P},n,\delta,d)|_{E,\mathcal{Q}}, \\ s_{E,\mathcal{Q}}(F,\mathcal{P},n) &= \inf_{\delta>0} s_{E,\mathcal{Q}}(F,\mathcal{P},n,\delta), \\ s_{E,\mathcal{Q}}(F,\mathcal{P}) &= \inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} s_{E,\mathcal{Q}}(F,\mathcal{P},n). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{s}_{E,\mathcal{Q}}(F,\mathcal{P},n,\delta) &= \liminf_{d\to\infty} \frac{1}{d\log d} \log |\mathrm{HA}(F,\mathcal{P},n,\delta,d)|_{E,\mathcal{Q}}, \\ \underline{s}_{E,\mathcal{Q}}(F,\mathcal{P},n) &= \inf_{\delta>0} \underline{s}_{E,\mathcal{Q}}(F,\mathcal{P},n,\delta), \\ \underline{s}_{E,\mathcal{Q}}(F,\mathcal{P}) &= \inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \underline{s}_{E,\mathcal{Q}}(F,\mathcal{P},n). \end{aligned}$$

A simple approximation argument shows the following.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $E, F \subseteq G$ be finite sets and let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a finite set of projections in $L^\infty(X,\mu)$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a finite partition of unity in $L^\infty(X,\mu)$ consisting of projections. Then the set $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ of all projections in the *-subalgebra spanned by $\mathcal{P}$ satisfies*

$$s_{E,\mathcal{Q}}(F,\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) = s_{E,\mathcal{Q}}(F,\mathcal{P}).$$

Proposition 5.2. *Let F be a finite symmetric subset of G containing e and let $\mathcal{P}$ be a set consisting of the projections in some finite-dimensional unital *-subalgebra A of $L^\infty(X,\mu)$. Let E be a finite subset of G and $\mathcal{Q}$ a subset of $\mathcal{P}$. Then $s_{E\cup\mathcal{Q}}(F\cup\mathcal{P}) = s_{E,\mathcal{Q}}(F,\mathcal{P})$ and $\underline{s}_{E\cup\mathcal{Q}}(F\cup\mathcal{P}) = \underline{s}_{E,\mathcal{Q}}(F,\mathcal{P})$.*

Proof. First we show that $s_{E\cup\mathcal{Q}}(F\cup\mathcal{P}) \geq s_{E,\mathcal{Q}}(F,\mathcal{P})$ and $\underline{s}_{E\cup\mathcal{Q}}(F\cup\mathcal{P}) \geq \underline{s}_{E,\mathcal{Q}}(F,\mathcal{P})$. Let $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\delta>0$. Let $\delta'>0$ be such that $3n\delta'<\delta$. Let $d\in\mathbb{N}$. Let $(\sigma,\varphi)\in \mathrm{HA}(F,\mathcal{P},n,\delta',d)$. Write $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ for the subset of $\mathcal{P}$ consisting of the minimal projections of A. Define a map $\Phi_{\sigma,\varphi} : I_{\mathscr{G}} \to I_d$ by setting $\Phi_{\sigma,\varphi}(pu_s) = \varphi(p)\sigma_s$ for all $p\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{F^n}$ and $s\in G$, extending linearly, and then extending arbitrarily to all of $I_{\mathscr{G}}$. Note in particular that $\Phi_{\sigma,\varphi}(1)=1$ since σ is identity-preserving and φ is unital. We will show that $\Phi_{\sigma,\varphi}\in \mathrm{SA}(F\cup\mathcal{P},n,\delta,d)$.

Let $p_1,\dots p_n\in\mathcal{P}$ and $s_1,\dots s_n\in F$. Then

$$\bigg(\prod_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i)\sigma_{s_i}\bigg)\sigma_{s_n}^{-1}\cdots\sigma_{s_1}^{-1} = \prod_{i=1}^n (\mathrm{Ad}\,\sigma_{s_1}\cdots\sigma_{s_{i-1}})(\varphi(p_i))$$

and so by untelescoping to estimate the difference of products we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bigg\|\varphi\bigg(\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_{s_1\cdots s_{i-1}}(p_i)\bigg) - \bigg(\prod_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i)\sigma_{s_i}\bigg)\sigma_{s_n}^{-1}\cdots\sigma_{s_1}^{-1}\bigg\|_2 \\
&\qquad \leq \sum_{i=2}^n \big(\|\varphi(\alpha_{s_1\cdots s_{i-1}}(p_i)) - \mathrm{Ad}\,\sigma_{s_1\cdots s_{i-1}}(\varphi(p_i))\|_2 \\
&\qquad\qquad + \|(\mathrm{Ad}\,\sigma_{s_1\cdots s_{i-1}} - \mathrm{Ad}\,\sigma_{s_1}\cdots\sigma_{s_{i-1}})(\varphi(p_i))\|_2\big) \\
&\qquad < (n-1)\delta' + 2\sum_{i=2}^n \|\sigma_{s_1\cdots s_{i-1}} - \sigma_{s_1}\cdots\sigma_{s_{i-1}}\|_2 \\
&\qquad < 3(n-1)\delta'.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\prod_{i=1}^n p_i u_{s_i} = (\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_{s_1\cdots s_{i-1}}(p_i))u_{s_1\cdots s_n}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bigg\|\Phi_{\sigma,\varphi}\bigg(\prod_{i=1}^n p_i u_{s_i}\bigg) - \prod_{i=1}^n \Phi_{\sigma,\varphi}(p_i)\Phi_{\sigma,\varphi}(u_{s_i})\bigg\|_2 \\
&\qquad = \bigg\|\varphi\bigg(\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_{s_1\cdots s_{i-1}}(p_i)\bigg)\sigma_{s_1\cdots s_n} - \prod_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i)\sigma_{s_i}\bigg\|_2 \\
&\qquad = \bigg\|\varphi\bigg(\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_{s_1\cdots s_{i-1}}(p_i)\bigg)(\sigma_{s_1\cdots s_n} - \sigma_{s_1}\cdots\sigma_{s_n})\bigg\|_2 \\
&\qquad\qquad + \bigg\|\varphi\bigg(\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_{s_1\cdots s_{i-1}}(p_i)\bigg) - \bigg(\prod_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i)\sigma_{s_i}\bigg)\sigma_{s_n}^{-1}\cdots\sigma_{s_1}^{-1}\bigg\|_2 \\
&\qquad < \|\sigma_{s_1\cdots s_n} - \sigma_{s_1}\cdots\sigma_{s_n}\|_2 + 3(n-1)\delta' < (3n-2)\delta' < \delta.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $1 \in \mathcal{P}$ and $e \in F$ this shows that $\|\Phi_{\sigma,\varphi}(a_1 \cdots a_n) - \Phi_{\sigma,\varphi}(a_1) \cdots \Phi_{\sigma,\varphi}(a_n)\| < \delta$ for all $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in (F \cup \mathcal{P})^{\times n}$. Note also that if $s_1 \cdots s_n = e$ then, since $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{s_1 \cdots s_{i-1}}(p_i) \in \mathcal{P}_{F^n}$,

$$\left| \operatorname{tr} \circ \Phi_{\sigma,\varphi}\biggl(\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i u_{s_i} \biggr) - \tau\biggl(\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i u_{s_i} \biggr) \right| = \left| (\operatorname{tr} \circ \varphi - \mu)\biggl(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{s_1 \cdots s_{i-1}}(p_i) \biggr) \right| < \delta' < \delta,$$

while if $s_1 \cdots s_n \neq e$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \operatorname{tr} \circ \Phi_{\sigma,\varphi}\biggl(\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i u_{s_i} \biggr) - \tau\biggl(\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i u_{s_i} \biggr) \right| &= \left| \operatorname{tr}\biggl(\varphi\biggl(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{s_1 \cdots s_{i-1}}(p_i) \biggr) \sigma_{s_1 \cdots s_n} \biggr) \right| \\ &\leq \operatorname{tr}(\sigma_{s_1 \cdots s_n}) < \delta' < \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Since $1 \in \mathcal{P}$ and $e \in F$, this shows that $|\operatorname{tr} \circ \Phi_{\sigma,\varphi}(a) - \tau(a)| < \delta$ for all $a \in (F \cup \mathcal{P})^{\times n}$. We have thus verified that $\Phi_{\sigma,\varphi} \in \mathrm{SA}(F \cup \mathcal{P}, n, \delta, d)$. Since for any $(\sigma, \varphi), (\omega, \psi) \in \mathrm{HA}(F, \mathcal{P}, n, \delta', d)$ such that $(\sigma|_E, \varphi|_{\mathcal{Q}})$ and $(\omega|_E, \psi|_{\mathcal{Q}})$ are distinct the restrictions of $\Phi_{\sigma,\varphi}$ and $\Phi_{\omega,\psi}$ to $E \cup \mathcal{Q}$ are distinct, it follows that

$$|\mathrm{SA}(F \cup \mathcal{P}, n, \delta, d)|_{E \cup \mathcal{Q}} \geq |\mathrm{HA}(F, \mathcal{P}, n, \delta', d)|_{E, \mathcal{Q}},$$

from which we infer that $s_{E \cup \mathcal{Q}}(F \cup \mathcal{P}) \geq s_{E,\mathcal{Q}}(F, \mathcal{P})$ and $\underline{s}_{E \cup \mathcal{Q}}(F \cup \mathcal{P}) \geq \underline{s}_{E,\mathcal{Q}}(F, \mathcal{P})$.

To prove the reverse inequalities, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let m be an integer larger than $6|F^n|$. Let δ' be a positive number smaller than $\delta/(5 + 4n)$, $\delta/(2|\mathcal{P}|^{|F|^m})$, and $\delta/48$, to be further specified. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\Phi \in \mathrm{SA}(F \cup \mathcal{P}, m, \delta', d)$. Let $s_1, \dots, s_k$ be a enumeration of the elements of F^n, for the purpose of indexing noncommutative products below. Given a $p \in \mathcal{P}_{F^n}$, writing $p = \prod_{i=1}^{k} u_{s_i} p_{s_i} u_{s_i}^*$ where the p_{s_i} are projections in $\mathcal{P}$, we have by Lemma 2.10

$$\begin{aligned} &\biggl\| \prod_{i=1}^{k} \Phi(u_{s_i}^*)^* \Phi(p_{s_i})^* \Phi(u_{s_i})^* - \prod_{i=1}^{k} \Phi(u_{s_i}) \Phi(p_{s_i}) \Phi(u_{s_i}^*) \biggr\|_2 \\ &\qquad \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \bigl(\|\Phi(u_{s_i}^*)^* - \Phi(u_{s_i})\|_2 + \|\Phi(p_{s_i})^* - \Phi(p_{s_i})\|_2 + \|\Phi(u_{s_i})^* - \Phi(u_{s_i}^*)\|_2 \bigr) \\ &\qquad \leq 9|F^n|\delta' \end{aligned}$$

and thus, using the fact that $m > 6|F^n|$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\Phi(p)^* \Phi(p) - \Phi(p)\|_2 \qquad\qquad (1) \\ &\qquad \leq \biggl\| \biggl(\Phi(p) - \prod_{i=1}^{k} \Phi(u_{s_{k-i+1}}) \Phi(p_{s_{k-i+1}}) \Phi(u_{s_{k-i+1}}^*) \biggr)^* \Phi(p) \biggr\|_2 \\ &\qquad\qquad + \biggl\| \biggl(\prod_{i=1}^{k} \Phi(u_{s_i}^*)^* \Phi(p_{s_i})^* \Phi(u_{s_i})^* - \prod_{i=1}^{k} \Phi(u_{s_i}) \Phi(p_{s_i}) \Phi(u_{s_i}^*) \biggr) \Phi(p) \biggr\|_2 \\ &\qquad\qquad + \biggl\| \biggl(\prod_{i=1}^{k} \Phi(u_{s_i}) \Phi(p_{s_i}) \Phi(u_{s_i}^*) \biggr) \biggl(\Phi(p) - \prod_{i=1}^{k} \Phi(u_{s_i}) \Phi(p_{s_i}) \Phi(u_{s_i}^*) \biggr) \biggr\|_2 \\ &\qquad\qquad + \biggl\| \prod_{i=1}^{k} \Phi(u_{s_i}) \Phi(p_{s_i}) \Phi(u_{s_i}^*) \prod_{i=1}^{k} \Phi(u_{s_i}) \Phi(p_{s_i}) \Phi(u_{s_i}^*) - \Phi(p^2) \biggr\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$< (3 + 9|F^n|)\delta'.$$

For $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_{F^n}$ we have, by a similar estimate again using the fact that $m > 6|F^n|$,

$$\|\Phi(pq) - \Phi(p)\Phi(q)\|_2 < 3\delta'. \tag{2}$$

Pick a $p_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{F^n}$. Since $\Phi(p)^*\Phi(p)$ is a projection in $\mathrm{diag}(M_d)$ for every $p \in \mathcal{P}_{F^n}$, it follows from (1) and (2) and a straightforward perturbation argument that we can find pairwise orthogonal projections $\varphi_\Phi(p) \in \mathbb{C}^d \cong \mathrm{diag}(M_d)$ for $p \in \mathcal{P}_{F^n} \setminus \{p_0\}$ such that $\|\varphi_\Phi(p) - \Phi(p)\|_2$ is as small as we wish for every $p \in \mathcal{P}_{F^n} \setminus \{p_0\}$ granted that δ' is taken small enough. Setting $\varphi_\Phi(p_0) = 1 - \sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}_{F^n}\setminus\{p_0\}} \varphi_\Phi(p)$ and extending linearly we obtain a unital homomorphism $\varphi_\Phi : \mathrm{span}(\mathcal{P}_{F^n}) \to \mathbb{C}^d$, and by taking δ' small enough we can ensure that $\|\varphi_\Phi(p) - \Phi(p)\|_2 < \delta/(3n)$ for every projection p in the linear span of $\mathcal{P}_{F^m}$. For $s \in F^n \setminus \{e\}$ the partial isometry $\Phi(u_s)$ satisfies $\|\Phi(u_s^*) - \Phi(u_s)^*\|_2 < 3\delta'$ by Lemma 2.10 and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Phi(u_s)^*\Phi(u_s) - 1\|_2 &\leq \|(\Phi(u_s)^* - \Phi(u_s^*))\Phi(u_s)\|_2 + \|\Phi(u_s^*)\Phi(u_s) - \Phi(u_s^*u_s)\|_2 \\ &< 4\delta',\end{aligned}$$

which means that we can construct a permutation matrix $\sigma_{\Phi,s} \in S_d$ such that $\|\sigma_{\Phi,s} - \Phi(u_s)\|_2 < 4\delta'$. For all other $s \in G$ we set $\sigma_{\Phi,s} = 1$, giving us a map $\sigma_\Phi : G \to S_d$. For all $(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in F^{\times n}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\sigma_{\Phi,s_1\cdots s_n} - \sigma_{\Phi,s_1}\cdots\sigma_{\Phi,s_n}\|_2 \\ &\qquad \leq \|\sigma_{\Phi,s_1\cdots s_n} - \Phi(u_{s_1\cdots s_n})\|_2 \\ &\qquad\qquad + \|\Phi(u_{s_1}\cdots u_{s_n}) - \Phi(u_{s_1})\cdots\Phi(u_{s_n})\|_2 \\ &\qquad\qquad + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\sigma_{\Phi,s_1}\cdots\sigma_{\Phi,s_{i-1}}(\Phi(u_{s_i}) - \sigma_{\Phi,s_i})\Phi(u_{s_{i+1}})\cdots\Phi(u_{s_n})\|_2 \\ &\qquad < (5 + 4n)\delta' < \delta\end{aligned}$$

while for $s \in F^n \setminus \{e\}$ we have

$$\mathrm{tr}(\sigma_{\Phi,s}) = \mathrm{tr}(\sigma_{\Phi,s} - \Phi(u_s)) + \mathrm{tr}(\Phi(u_s)) < 5\delta' < \delta,$$

so that $\sigma_\Phi \in \mathrm{GA}(F, n, \delta, d)$.

Let $p \in \mathcal{P}_{F^n}$. Since $\mathcal{P}_{F^n} \subseteq (F \cup \mathcal{P})^m$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathrm{tr}\circ\varphi_\Phi(p) - \mu(p)| &\leq |\mathrm{tr}(\varphi_\Phi(p) - \Phi(p))| + |\mathrm{tr}\circ\Phi(p) - \tau(p)| \\ &\leq \|\varphi_\Phi(p) - \Phi(p)\|_2 + \delta' < \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} = \delta.\end{aligned}$$

Note also that for $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $s \in F^n$ we have, using Lemma 2.10,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\varphi_\Phi \circ \alpha_s(p) - \mathrm{Ad}\,\sigma_{\Phi,s} \circ \varphi_\Phi(p)\|_2 \\ &\qquad \leq \|\varphi_\Phi(u_spu_s^*) - \Phi(u_spu_s^*)\|_2 + \|\Phi(u_spu_s^*) - \Phi(u_s)\Phi(p)\Phi(u_s^*)\|_2 \\ &\qquad\qquad + \|(\Phi(u_s) - \sigma_{\Phi,s})\Phi(p)\Phi(u_s^*)\|_2 + \|\sigma_{\Phi,s}(\Phi(p) - \varphi_\Phi(p))\Phi(u_s^*)\|_2 \\ &\qquad\qquad + \|\sigma_{\Phi,s}\varphi_\Phi(p)(\Phi(u_s^*) - \Phi(u_s)^*)\|_2 + \|\sigma_{\Phi,s}\varphi_\Phi(p)(\Phi(u_s) - \sigma_{\Phi,s})^*\|_2 \\ &\qquad < \frac{\delta}{3} + \delta' + 6\delta' + \frac{\delta}{3} + 3\delta' + 6\delta' < \delta.\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for all $k = 1, \dots, n$, $s_1, \dots, s_k \in F^n$, and $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathcal{P}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Phi(\alpha_{s_1}(p_1)\cdots\alpha_{s_k}(p_k)) - \Phi(\alpha_{s_1}(p_1))\cdots\Phi(\alpha_{s_k}(p_k))\|_2 \\
&\quad\le \Big\|\Phi(u_{s_1}p_1u_{s_1}^*\cdots u_{s_k}p_ku_{s_k}^*) - \prod_{i=1}^{k}\Phi(u_{s_i})\Phi(p_i)\Phi(u_{s_i}^*)\Big\|_2 \\
&\qquad + \sum_{i=1}^{k}\Big\|\Big(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\Phi(u_{s_j}p_1u_{s_j}^*)\Big)\big(\Phi(u_{s_i})\Phi(p_i)\Phi(u_{s_i}^*) - \Phi(u_{s_1}p_1u_{s_1}^*)\big) \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \Big(\prod_{j=i+1}^{k}\Phi(u_{s_j})\Phi(p_j)\Phi(u_{s_j}^*)\Big)\Big\|_2 \\
&\quad < (1+k)\delta' < \frac{\delta}{3}
\end{aligned}$$

and therefore, using the fact that for each $i = 1, \dots, k$ we have $\|\Phi(\alpha_{s_1}(p_i)) - \varphi_\Phi(\alpha_{s_i}(p_i)\|_2 < \delta/(3n)$ since $\alpha_{s_i}(p_i) \in \mathcal{P}_{F^n}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\varphi_\Phi(\alpha_{s_1}(p_1)\cdots\alpha_{s_k}(p_k)) - \varphi_\Phi(\alpha_{s_1}(p_1))\cdots\varphi_\Phi(\alpha_{s_k}(p_k))\|_2 \\
&\quad\le \|\varphi_\Phi(\alpha_{s_1}(p_1)\cdots\alpha_{s_k}(p_k)) - \Phi(\alpha_{s_1}(p_1)\cdots\alpha_{s_k}(p_k))\|_2 \\
&\qquad + \|\Phi(\alpha_{s_1}(p_1)\cdots\alpha_{s_k}(p_k)) - \Phi(\alpha_{s_1}(p_1))\cdots\Phi(\alpha_{s_k}(p_k))\|_2 \\
&\qquad + \sum_{i=1}^{k}\|\varphi_\Phi(\alpha_{s_1}(p_1))\cdots\varphi_\Phi(\alpha_{s_{i-1}}(p_{i-1}))(\Phi(\alpha_{s_1}(p_i)) - \varphi_\Phi(\alpha_{s_i}(p_i))) \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \Phi(\alpha_{s_{i+1}}(p_{i+1}))\cdots\Phi(\alpha_{s_k}(p_k))\|_2 \\
&\quad < \frac{\delta}{3} + \frac{\delta}{3} + k\cdot\frac{\delta}{3n} \le \delta.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $(\sigma_\Phi, \varphi_\Phi) \in \mathrm{HA}(F, \mathcal{P}, n, \delta, d)$.

It is clear from the above construction of σ_Φ and φ_Φ for each $\Phi \in \mathrm{SA}(F \cup \mathcal{P}, m, \delta', d)$ that we can find a small enough $\varepsilon > 0$ not depending on d with $\varepsilon \to 0$ as $\delta \to 0$ such that for any $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathrm{SA}(F \cup \mathcal{P}, m, \delta', d)$ satisfying $\rho_{E\cup\mathcal{Q}}(\Phi, \Psi) \ge \varepsilon$ the pairs $(\sigma_\Phi|_E, \varphi_\Phi|_{\mathcal{Q}})$ and $(\sigma_\Psi|_E, \varphi_\Psi|_{\mathcal{Q}})$ are distinct. Therefore

$$d^{-\kappa d} N_\varepsilon(\mathrm{SA}(F \cup \mathcal{P}, m, \delta', d), \rho_{E,\mathcal{Q}}) \le |\mathrm{HA}(F, \mathcal{P}, n, \delta, d)|_{E,\mathcal{Q}}$$

for some $\kappa > 0$ with $\kappa \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, by Lemma 2.5. We conclude by Lemma 2.6 that $s_{E\cup\mathcal{Q}}(F \cup \mathcal{P}) \le s_{E,\mathcal{Q}}(F, \mathcal{P})$ and $\underline{s}_{E\cup\mathcal{Q}}(F \cup \mathcal{P}) \le \underline{s}_{E,\mathcal{Q}}(F, \mathcal{P})$, yielding the proposition. □

The next result is a consequence of Theorem 2.11, Proposition 5.1, and Proposition 5.2. Note that $L^\infty(X, \mu)$ can be written as the L^2 closure of a increasing sequence of finite-dimensional unital *-subalgebras, and the set of nonzero projections in the union of such a sequence is dynamically generating.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a measure-preserving action. Let Ω be a generating subset of G and M a dynamically generating *-subalgebra of $L^\infty(X, \mu)$. Then*

$$s(G, X) = \sup_E \sup_{\mathcal{Q}} \inf_F \inf_{\mathcal{P}} s_{E,\mathcal{Q}}(F, \mathcal{P}),$$

$$\underline{s}(G,X) = \sup_E \sup_{\mathcal{Q}} \inf_F \inf_{\mathcal{P}} \underline{s}_{E,\mathcal{Q}}(F,\mathcal{P})$$

where in both lines E and F run over the finite subsets of Ω and $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ run over the finite partitions of unity in M consisting of projections. In particular, if F is a finite generating subset of G and $\mathcal{P}$ a dynamically generating finite partition of unity in $L^\infty(X,\mu)$ consisting of projections then

$$s(G,X) = s_{F,\mathcal{P}}(F,\mathcal{P}),$$
$$\underline{s}(G,X) = \underline{s}_{F,\mathcal{P}}(F,\mathcal{P}).$$

Proposition 5.4. *Let $G \curvearrowright (X,\mu)$ be a measure-preserving action. Then $s(G,X) \leq s(G)$ and $\underline{s}(G,X) \leq \underline{s}(G)$.*

Proof. Let E and F be finite subsets of G and $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ finite partitions of unity in $L^\infty(X,\mu)$ consisitng of projections. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\delta > 0$. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. The number of restrictions $\varphi|_{\mathcal{Q}}$ where φ is a unital homomorphism from $\mathrm{span}(\mathcal{P}_{F_n})$ to $\mathbb{C}^d$ is at most $|\mathcal{Q}|^d$. Therefore

$$|\mathrm{HA}(F,\mathcal{P},n,\delta,d)|_{E,\mathcal{Q}} \leq |\mathcal{Q}|^d |\mathrm{SA}(F,n,\delta,d)|_E,$$

from which we deduce that $s_{E,\mathcal{Q}}(F,\mathcal{P}) \leq s_E(F)$ and $\underline{s}_{E,\mathcal{Q}}(F,\mathcal{P}) \leq \underline{s}_E(F)$. Now apply Proposition 5.3 to obtain the result. □

Theorem 5.5. *Let (Y,ν) be a probability space with Y finite and let $G \curvearrowright (X,\mu) = (Y,\nu)^G$ be the Bernoulli action. Then $s(G,X) = s(G)$ and $\underline{s}(G,X) = \underline{s}(G)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.4 it suffices to show that $s(G,X) \geq s(G)$ and $\underline{s}(G,X) \geq \underline{s}(G)$. This is a consequence of Section 8 of [2], which shows that every sufficiently good sofic approximation for G is compatible with a suitable sofic approximation for the action. □

Proposition 5.6. *Let $G \curvearrowright (X,\mu)$ be a measure-preserving action. Then either $\underline{s}(G,X) \geq 1 - |G|^{-1}$ or $s(G,X) = -\infty$.*

Proof. If $s(G,X) \neq -\infty$ then the groupoid associated to the action is sofic, and an argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.14 shows that $\underline{s}(G,X) \geq 1 - |G|^{-1}$. □

Theorem 5.7. *Suppose that G is amenable. Let $G \curvearrowright (X,\mu)$ be a measure-preserving action. Then $s(G,X) = \underline{s}(G,X) = 1 - |G|^{-1}$.*

Proof. In view of Propositions 5.4 and 5.6 and Theorem 4.12, it suffices to show that $s(G,X) \neq -\infty$. But this follows for example from Theorem 6.8 of [14]. □

6. Actions of free products

In this final section we derive a free product formula for actions. In the case of free actions a more general formula is established in [6] using an equivalence relation approach. We follow the notational conventions of the previous section. Also, we will write $s_{E,\mathcal{P}}(G,X)$ and $\underline{s}_{E,\mathcal{P}}(G,X)$ to mean $s_{E\cup\mathcal{P}}(I_{G,X})$ and $\underline{s}_{E\cup\mathcal{P}}(I_{G,X})$, respectively, where as before $I_{G,X}$ is the p.m.p. groupoid associated to the action $G \curvearrowright (X,\mu)$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let G_1 and G_2 be countable discrete groups. Let α be a measure-preserving action of $G_1 * G_2$ on (X,μ). Then*

$$s(G_1 * G_2, X) \leq s(G_1,X) + s(G_2,X).$$

Proof. Let $\kappa > 0$. Since $G_1 \cup G_2$ generates $G_1 * G_2$, by Theorem 2.11 there are nonempty finite sets $E_1 \subseteq G_1$ and $E_2 \subseteq G_2$ and a finite set $\mathcal{Q}$ of projections in $L^\infty(X,\mu)$ such that $s(G_1 * G_2, X) \leq s_{E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \mathcal{Q}}(G_1 * G_2, X) + \kappa$. Take nonempty finite sets $F_1 \subseteq I_{G_1,X}$ and $F_2 \subseteq I_{G_2,X}$ such that $s_{E_1\cup\mathcal{Q}}(F_1) \leq s(G_1,X) + \kappa$ and $s_{E_2\cup\mathcal{Q}}(F_2) \leq s(G_2,X) + \kappa$. Given $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$, for every element $\varphi \in \mathrm{SA}(F_1 \cup F_2, n, \delta, d)$ we have $\varphi|_{[I_{G_1,X}]} \in \mathrm{SA}(F_1, n, \delta, d)$ and $\varphi|_{[I_{G_2,X}]} \in \mathrm{SA}(F_2, n, \delta, d)$. Hence

$$|\mathrm{SA}(F_1 \cup F_2, n, \delta, d)|_{E_1\cup E_2\cup\mathcal{Q}} \leq |\mathrm{SA}(F_1, n, \delta, d)|_{E_1\cup\mathcal{Q}} |\mathrm{SA}(F_2, n, \delta, d)|_{E_1\cup\mathcal{Q}}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} s(G_1 * G_2, X) &\leq s_{E_1\cup E_2\cup\mathcal{Q}}(F_1 \cup F_2) + \kappa \\ &\leq s_{E_1\cup\mathcal{Q}}(F_1) + s_{E_2\cup\mathcal{Q}}(F_2) + \kappa \\ &\leq s(G_1, X) + s(G_2, X) + 3\kappa. \end{aligned}$$

Since κ was an arbitrary positive number we obtain the lemma. □

The proof of the following lemma is similar to that of Lemma 2.13.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a finite partition of unity in $L^\infty(X,\mu)$ consisting of projections. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a finite set of projections in $L^\infty(X,\mu)$. Let E and F be finite subsets of G, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\delta > 0$. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\underline{s}(F, n) = \inf_{\delta>0} \liminf_{d\to\infty} \frac{1}{\ell d \log(\ell d)} \log |\mathrm{HA}(F, \mathcal{P}, n, \delta, \ell d)|_{E,\mathcal{Q}}.$$

Recall that S_d acts on the set of maps $\sigma : G \to S_d$ by $(U\cdot\sigma)_s = U\sigma_s U^{-1}$. Also, given a unital *-subalgebra $M \subseteq L^\infty(X,\mu)$, S_d acts on the set of unital homomophisms $\varphi : M \to \mathrm{diag}(M_d) \cong \mathbb{C}^d$ by $(U \cdot \varphi)(f) = U\varphi(f)$. Thus we have an action S_d on the set of pairs (σ, φ) consisting of such σ and φ.

Recall also that for sets $A_1 \subseteq A_2$, $B_1 \subseteq B_2$, Z_1, and Z_2 and a collection $\mathscr{Y}$ of ordered pairs consisting of maps $A_1 \to Z_1$ and $A_2 \to Z_2$ we write $|\mathscr{Y}|_{B_1,B_2}$ for the cardinality of the set of pairs $(\sigma|_{B_1}, \varphi|_{B_2})$ where $(\sigma,\varphi) \in \mathscr{Y}$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let G_1 and G_2 be countable discrete groups and let α be a measure-preserving action of $G_1 * G_2$ on (X,μ). Then*

$$\underline{s}(G_1 * G_2, X) \geq \underline{s}(G_1, X) + \underline{s}(G_2, X).$$

Proof. Let $\eta > 0$. Then by Theorem 2.11, Proposition 5.2, and Proposition 5.1 there exist finite sets $E_1 \subseteq G_1$ and $E_2 \subseteq G_2$ and finite sets of projections $\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2 \subseteq L^\infty(X,\mu)$ such that $\underline{s}_{E_1,\mathcal{Q}_1}(G_1, X) \geq \underline{s}(G_1, X) - \eta$ and $\underline{s}_{E_2,\mathcal{Q}_2}(G_2, X) \geq \underline{s}(G_2, X) - \eta$. We may assume that $1 \in \mathcal{Q}_1 \cap \mathcal{Q}_2$. Thus, since $G_1 \cup G_2$ generates $G_1 * G_2$, by Theorem 2.11 and Proposition 5.2 there are finite symmetric sets $F_1 \subseteq G_1$ and $F_2 \subseteq G_2$ and a set $\mathcal{P}$ consisting of the nonzero projections of some finite-dimensional unital *-subalgebra of $L^\infty(X,\mu)$ containing $\mathcal{Q}_1 \cup \mathcal{Q}_2$ such that $\underline{s}_{E_1\cup E_2,\mathcal{Q}_1\cup\mathcal{Q}_2}(G_1 * G_2, X) \geq \underline{s}_{E_1\cup E_2,\mathcal{Q}_1\cup\mathcal{Q}_2}(F_1 \cup F_2, \mathcal{P}) - \eta$.

Let $\delta > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $K = (F_1 \cup F_2)^n$. Let δ' be a positive number less than δ/n to be further specified. Let $0 < \delta'' < \delta$, to be further specified.

Fix an $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $p \in \mathcal{P}_K$ we can find a $b_p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\mu(p) - b_p/\ell| < \delta''$ and $\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}_K} b_p = \ell$. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\kappa > 0$, to be specified in a moment. For $i = 1, 2$ a simple perturbation argument shows that by taking δ'' small enough, independently of d, we can ensure that for every $(\sigma, \varphi) \in \mathrm{HA}(F_i, \mathcal{P}_K, n, \delta'', \ell d)$ there is a unital homomorphism $\varphi' : \mathrm{span}(\mathcal{P}_{K^n}) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $(\sigma, \varphi') \in \mathrm{HA}(F_i, \mathcal{P}_K, n, \delta', \ell d)$ and $\mathrm{tr}_d(\varphi'(p)) = b_p/\ell$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}_K$. Write $\mathscr{Y}_i$ for the set of all $(\sigma, \varphi) \in \mathrm{HA}(F_i, \mathcal{P}_K, n, \delta', \ell d)$ such that $\mathrm{tr}_d(\varphi(p)) = b_p/\ell$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}_K$. Since the total number of unital homomorphisms $\mathrm{span}(\mathcal{P}_K) \to \mathbb{C}^d$ is at most r^d where $r = |\mathcal{P}_K|$, we have

$$|\mathscr{Y}_i|_{E_i,\mathcal{Q}_i} \geq r^{-d} |\mathrm{HA}(F_i, \mathcal{P}_K, n, \delta'', \ell d)|_{E_i,\mathcal{Q}_i}.$$

for $i = 1, 2$.

Fix a $(\sigma, \varphi) \in \mathscr{Y}_1$. For every map $\omega : G_2 \to S_d$ we construct, using freeness, a map $\Omega = \Omega_\omega : G_1 * G_2 \to S_d$ such that for a reduced word $t_1 \cdots t_k$ where the t_i alternate membership in F_1^n and F_2^n we have $\Omega_{t_1 \cdots t_n} = \rho_{1,t_1} \rho_{2,t_2} \cdots \rho_{n,t_n}$ where $\rho_i = \sigma$ if $s_i \in F_1^n$ and $\rho_i = \omega$ otherwise.

Fix an identification of $M_{\ell d}$ with $M_\ell \otimes M_d$ such that matrix units pair with tensor products of matrix units and for every $p \in \mathcal{P}_K$ the projection $\varphi(p)$ has the form $D \otimes 1$ for some diagonal matrix $D \in M_\ell$. Write $\mathscr{X}_d$ for the set of all permutation matrices in $M_{\ell d}$ of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} E_{i,i} \otimes U_i \in M_\ell \otimes M_d$ where the $E_{i,i}$ are the diagonal matrix units.

Let $(\omega, \psi) \in \mathscr{Y}_2$. Then there is a $W \in S_{\ell d}$ such that the pair $(\omega', \psi') = W \cdot (\omega, \psi)$ satisfies $\psi'(p) = \varphi(p)$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}_K$. Write $\Upsilon_{d,\sigma,\varphi,\omega,\psi,W}$ for the set of all $U \in \mathscr{X}_d$ such that for every $k = 1, \dots, n$ the map $\Omega = \Omega_{U \cdot \omega'}$ satisfies $\mathrm{tr}_{\ell d}(\Omega_{t_1 \cdots t_k}) < \delta'/2$ for all reduced words $t_1 \cdots t_k \neq e$ where the t_j alternate membership in F_1^n and F_2^n. By Lemma 4.6 we have $\lim_{d\to\infty} |\Upsilon_{d,\sigma,\varphi,\omega,\psi,W}|/|\mathscr{X}_d| = 1$ assuming that δ'' is small enough as a function of δ'.

Take a $U \in \Upsilon_{d,\sigma,\varphi,\omega,\psi,W}$ and set $\omega'' = U \cdot \omega'$. Let us show that $(\Omega, \psi') \in \mathrm{HA}(F_1 \cup F_2, \mathcal{P}, n, \delta, \ell d)$ where $\Omega = \Omega_{\omega''}$. Let $t_1, \dots, t_n \in F_1 \cup F_2$. Let $j_1 = 1 < j_2 < \dots j_k \leq n$ be such that for each $i = 1, \dots, k$ the elements $t_{j_i}, \dots, t_{j_{i+1}-1}$ either all lie in F_1 or all lie in F_2 and this common membership alternates between F_1 and F_2 from one i to the next. Writing $\rho^{(i)} = \sigma$ if $t_{j_i} \in F_1$ and $\rho^{(i)} = \omega''$ otherwise, we have

$$\|\Omega_{t_1 \cdots t_n} - \Omega_{t_1} \cdots \Omega_{t_n}\|_2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \|\rho^{(i)}_{t_{j_i} \cdots t_{j_{i+1}-1}} - \rho^{(i)}_{t_{j_i}} \cdots \rho^{(i)}_{t_{j_{i+1}-1}}\|_2 < k\delta' \leq \delta.$$

Also, if we are given a $t \in (F_1 \cup F_2)^n \setminus \{e\}$ then since F_1 and F_2 both contain e we can write $t = t_1 \cdots t_k$ where $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $t_1, \dots, t_k$ alternate membership in F_1^n and F_2^n, so that $\mathrm{tr}_{\ell d}(\Omega_t) = \mathrm{tr}_{\ell d}(\Omega_{t_1 \cdots t_k}) < \delta' \leq \delta$. Since $|\mathrm{tr}_d \circ \psi'(p) - \mu(p)| = |\mathrm{tr}_d \circ \psi(p) - \mu(p)| = \delta'' < \delta$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}_{(F_1 \cup F_2)^n}$ by virtue of the fact that $(\omega, \psi) \in \mathscr{Y}_2$, we thus conclude that Ω is an element of $\mathrm{GA}(F_1 \cup F_2, \mathcal{P}, n, \delta, \ell d)$.

Now let us check that, to within the required tolerance, ψ' is approximately equivariant on a reduced word $t_1 \cdots t_k$ where $1 \leq k \leq n$ and the t_j alternate membership in F_1^n and F_2^n. Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$. For every $j = 1, \dots, n$ the projection $\alpha_{t_{j+1} \cdots t_n}(p)$ lies in $\mathcal{P}_K$ and hence has the same image under φ and ψ', and so, using the fact that U commutes with $\varphi(\alpha_{t_{j+1} \cdots t_n}(p))$ in the case that $t_j \in F_2^n$, we obtain

$$\|\mathrm{Ad}\, \Omega_{t_j} \circ \psi'(\alpha_{t_{j+1} \cdots t_n}(p)) - \psi' \circ \alpha_{t_j}(\alpha_{t_{j+1} \cdots t_n}(p))\|_2 < \delta'.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathrm{Ad}\, \Omega_{t_1 \cdots t_k} \circ \psi'(p) - \psi' \circ \alpha_{t_1 \cdots t_k}(p)\|_2 \\ &\qquad = \|\mathrm{Ad}\, \Omega_{t_1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{Ad}\, \Omega_{t_n} \circ \psi'(p) - \psi' \circ \alpha_{t_1} \circ \cdots \circ \alpha_{t_n}(p)\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|\operatorname{Ad}\Omega_{t_1} \circ \cdots \circ \operatorname{Ad}\Omega_{t_{j-1}}(\operatorname{Ad}\Omega_{t_j} \circ \psi'(\alpha_{t_{j+1}\cdots t_n}(p)) - \psi' \circ \alpha_{t_j}(\alpha_{t_{j+1}\cdots t_n}(p)))\|_2 \\ &< n\delta' < \delta.\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $(\Omega, \psi') \in \mathrm{HA}(F_1 \cup F_2, \mathcal{P}, n, \delta, \ell d)$, as desired.

Note that Ω was obtained by combining in a free manner the maps σ and ω'', where the latter was obtained from ω by conjugating by W and then by U. Let $\gamma > 0$. The set Θ_d of all W that could have done the required job has cardinality at least $d!^\ell$. For all d larger than some d_0 not depending on σ, ω, or W, the set $\Upsilon_{d,\sigma,\varphi,\omega,\psi,W}$ of all U that do the required job for a given W has cardinality at least $|\mathscr{X}_d|/2$. Set

$$\Lambda_{d,\sigma,\varphi,\omega,\psi} = \big\{UW : W \in \Theta_d \text{ and } U \in \Upsilon_{d,\sigma,\varphi,\omega,\psi,W}\big\}.$$

Taking a set Θ'_d of representatives for the orbits of the action of $\mathscr{X}_d$ on Θ_d by left multiplication and writing $\mathcal{T}_W$ for the set of all $U \in \mathscr{X}_d$ such that $UW = W$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\Theta_d| = \sum_{W\in\Theta'_d} |\mathscr{X}_d W| = \sum_{W\in\Theta'_d} \frac{|\mathscr{X}_d|}{|\mathcal{T}_W|} &\leq 2 \sum_{W\in\Theta'_d} \frac{|\Upsilon_{d,\sigma,\varphi,\omega,\psi,W}|}{|\mathcal{T}_W|} \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{W\in\Theta'_d} |\Upsilon_{d,\sigma,\varphi,\omega,\psi,W} W| \leq 2|\Lambda_{d,\sigma,\varphi,\omega,\psi}|.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, writing $\mathcal{S}$ for the set of all $U \in S_{\ell d}$ such that $(U \cdot \omega)|_{E_2} = \omega|_{E_2}$ and $(U \cdot \psi)|_{\mathcal{Q}_2} = \psi|_{\mathcal{Q}_2}$ and assuming d is large enough so that $|S_{\ell d}| \leq (\ell d)^{\ell d(1+\gamma)}$ and $|\Theta_d| \geq d^{\ell d(1-\gamma)}$ by Stirling's approximation,

$$\begin{aligned}|S_{\ell d} \cdot (\omega,\psi)|_{E_2,\mathcal{Q}_2} = \frac{|S_{\ell d}|}{|\mathcal{S}|} &\leq \frac{(\ell d)^{\ell d(1+\gamma)}}{|\mathcal{S}|} \cdot \frac{|\Theta_d|}{d^{\ell d(1-\gamma)}} \\ &\leq 2\ell^{\ell d(1+\gamma)} d^{2\ell d\gamma} \frac{|\Lambda_{d,\sigma,\varphi,\omega,\psi}|}{|\mathcal{S}|} \\ &\leq 2\ell^{\ell d(1+\gamma)} d^{2\ell d\gamma} |\Lambda_{d,\sigma,\varphi,\omega,\psi} \cdot (\omega,\psi)|_{E_2,\mathcal{Q}_2}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathscr{R}$ be a set of representatives for the orbits of the action of $S_{\ell d}$ on $\mathscr{Y}_2$ modulo the relation under which two pairs are equivalent if the first coordinates agree on E_2 and the second coordinates agree on $\mathcal{Q}_2$. Then $|\mathscr{Y}_2|_{E_2,\mathcal{Q}_2} = \sum_{(\omega,\psi)\in\mathscr{R}} |S_{\ell d} \cdot (\omega,\psi)|_{E_2,\mathcal{Q}_2}$. Take a set $\mathscr{Y}'_1$ of representatives for the relation on $\mathscr{Y}_1$ under which two pairs are equivalent if the first coordinates agree on E_1 and the second agree on $\mathcal{Q}_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&|\mathrm{HA}(F_1 \cup F_2, \mathcal{P}, n, \delta, \ell d)|_{E_1\cup E_2,\mathcal{Q}_1\cup\mathcal{Q}_2} \\ &\qquad \geq \sum_{(\sigma,\varphi)\in\mathscr{Y}'_1} \sum_{(\omega,\psi)\in\mathscr{R}} |\Lambda_{d,\sigma,\varphi,\omega,\psi} \cdot (\omega,\psi)|_{E_2,\mathcal{Q}_2} \\ &\qquad \geq \frac{1}{2}\ell^{-\ell d(1+\gamma)} d^{-2\ell d\gamma} |\mathscr{Y}_1|_{E_1,\mathcal{Q}_1} \sum_{(\omega,\psi)\in\mathscr{R}} |S_{\ell d} \cdot (\omega,\psi)|_{E_2,\mathcal{Q}_2} \\ &\qquad = \frac{1}{2}\ell^{-\ell d(1+\gamma)} d^{-2\ell d\gamma} |\mathscr{Y}_1|_{E_1,\mathcal{Q}_1} |\mathscr{Y}_2|_{E_2,\mathcal{Q}_2}\end{aligned}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\ell^{-\ell d(1+\gamma)}d^{-2\ell d\gamma}r^{-2d}|\mathrm{HA}(F_1, \mathcal{P}_K, n, \delta'', \ell d)|_{E_1,\mathcal{Q}_1} \times |\mathrm{HA}(F_2, \mathcal{P}_K, n, \delta'', \ell d)|_{E_2,\mathcal{Q}_2}$$

and hence, in view of Lemma 6.2,

$$\begin{aligned}\liminf_{d\to\infty} \frac{1}{\ell d \log(\ell d)}&|\mathrm{HA}(F_1 \cup F_2, \mathcal{P}, n, \delta, \ell d)|_{E_1\cup E_2,\mathcal{Q}_1\cup\mathcal{Q}_2} \\ &\geq \liminf_{d\to\infty} \frac{1}{\ell d \log(\ell d)}|\mathrm{HA}(F_1, \mathcal{P}_K, n, \delta'', \ell d)|_{E_1,\mathcal{Q}_1} \\ &\qquad + \liminf_{d\to\infty} \frac{1}{\ell d \log(\ell d)}|\mathrm{HA}(F_2, \mathcal{P}_K, n, \delta'', \ell d)|_{E_2,\mathcal{Q}_2} - 2\gamma \\ &\geq \underline{s}_{E_1,\mathcal{Q}_1}(F_1, \mathcal{P}_K) + \underline{s}_{E_2,\mathcal{Q}_2}(F_2, \mathcal{P}_K) - 2\gamma.\end{aligned}$$

Since n was an arbitary positive integer and δ and γ arbitrary positive numbers, it follows that

$$\underline{s}_{E_1\cup E_2,\mathcal{Q}_1\cup\mathcal{Q}_2}(F_1 \cup F_2, \mathcal{P}) \geq \underline{s}_{E_1,\mathcal{Q}_1}(F_1, \mathcal{P}_K) + \underline{s}_{E_2,\mathcal{Q}_2}(F_2, \mathcal{P}_K)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{s}(G_1 * G_2, X) &\geq \underline{s}_{E_1\cup E_2,\mathcal{Q}_1\cup\mathcal{Q}_2}(G_1 * G_2, X) \\ &\geq \underline{s}_{E_1\cup E_2,\mathcal{Q}_1\cup\mathcal{Q}_2}(F_1 \cup F_2, \mathcal{P}) - \eta \\ &\geq \underline{s}_{E_1,\mathcal{Q}_1}(G_1, X) + \underline{s}_{E_2,\mathcal{Q}_2}(G_2, X) - \eta \\ &\geq \underline{s}(G_1, X) + \underline{s}(G_2, X) - 3\eta.\end{aligned}$$

Since η was an arbitrary positive number this yields the result. □

Combining Lemmas 6.1 and 6.3 yields the following.

Theorem 6.4. *Suppose that G_1 and G_2 are approximation regular. Let α be a measure-preserving action of $G_1 * G_2$ on (X, μ). Then α is approximation regular and*

$$s(G_1 *_H G_2, X) = s(G_1, X) + s(G_2, X).$$

Corollary 6.5. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $F_r \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a measure-preserving action. Then $s(F_r, X) = \underline{s}(F_r, X) = r$.*

Proof. Repeatedly apply Theorem 6.4 using the fact that the action $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ obtained by restricting to any one of the standard generators of F_r satisfies $s(\mathbb{Z}, X) = \underline{s}(\mathbb{Z}, X) = 1$ by Theorem 5.7. □

The above corollary implies that, for distinct $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, given for each $i = 1, 2$ a measure-preserving action $F_{r_i} \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$, the associated groupoids are nonisomorphic. From this we recover both the fact that F_{r_1} and F_{r_2} are not isomorphic when $r_1 \neq r_2$ and Gaboriau's result that for $r_1 \neq r_2$ there are no free ergodic measure-preserving actions $F_{r_1} \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ and $F_{r_2} \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ which are orbit equivalent [9].

By combining the techniques of this section with the quasitiling arguments of Section 4 one could likely generalize the formula of Theorem 6.4 to allow for amalgamation over a common amenable subgroup on which the action is free. We have refrained from attempting this given that the technical details would be formidable and the equivalence relation approach of [6] already gives the desired formula under the hypothesis that the action of the amalgamated free product is free. Ultimately one would like to have a general groupoid version of the free product formula in this amalgamated setting that would specialize to actions without any freeness assumptions.

References

[1] M. Abert and B. Szegedy. Report of the Focussed Research Group *Residually finite groups, graph limits and dynamics* (09frg147), Banff International Research Station, April 2009.

[2] L. Bowen. Measure conjugacy invariants for actions of countable sofic groups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23** (2010), 217–245.

[3] L. Bowen. The ergodic theory of free group actions: entropy and the f-invariant. *Groups Geom. Dyn. 4* (2010), 419–432.

[4] N. P. Brown, K. J. Dykema, and K. Jung. Free entropy dimension in amalgamated free products. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **97** (2008), 339–367.

[5] B. Collins and K. J. Dykema. Free products of sofic groups with amalgamation over monotilably amenable groups. To appear in *Münster J. Math.*

[6] K. Dykema, D. Kerr, and M. Pichot. Orbit equivalence and the asymptotic growth of the number of sofic approximations. arXiv:1102.2556.

[7] G. Elek and E. Szabo. Sofic representations of amenable groups. arXiv:1010.3424.

[8] J. Feldman and C. C. Moore. Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology, and von Neumann algebras I. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **234** (1977), 289–324.

[9] D. Gaboriau. Coût des relations d'équivalence et des groupes. *Invent. math.* **139** (2000), 41-98.

[10] M. Gromov and V. D. Milman. A topological application of the isoperimetric inequality. *Amer. J. Math.* **105** (1983), 843–854.

[11] K. Jung. The free entropy dimension of hyperfinite von Neumann algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **355** (2003), 5053–5089.

[12] A. S. Kechris. *Classical Descriptive Set Theory.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[13] D. Kerr and H. Li. Entropy and the variational principle for actions of sofic groups. To appear in *Invent. Math.*

[14] D. Kerr and H. Li. Soficity, amenability, and dynamical entropy. arXiv:1008.1429. To appear in *Amer. J. Math.*

[15] B. Maurey. Construction de suites symétriques. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **288** (1979), 679–681.

[16] V. D. Milman and G. Schechtman. *Asymptotic Theory of Finite Dimensional Spaces.* Lecture Notes in Math., 1200. Springer, Berlin, 1986.

[17] D. S. Ornstein and B. Weiss. Entropy and isomorphism theorems for actions of amenable groups. *J. Analyse Math.* **48** (1987), 1–141.

[18] L. Paunescu. On sofic actions and equivalence relations. arXiv:1002.0605v4.

[19] J. Riordan. *An Introduction to Combinatorial Analysis.* Wiley, New York, 1958.

[20] R. Sauer. L^2-Betti numbers of discrete measured groupoids. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* **15** (2005), 1169–1188.

[21] D. Shlyakhtenko. Microstates free entropy and cost of equivalence relations. *Duke Math. J.* **118** (2003), 375–426.

Ken Dykema, Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station TX 77843-3368, U.S.A.

E-mail address: `kdykema@math.tamu.edu`

David Kerr, Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station TX 77843-3368, U.S.A.

E-mail address: `kerr@math.tamu.edu`

Mikaël Pichot, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec H3A 2K6, Canada

E-mail address: `mikael.pichot@mcgill.ca`